

Lecture 6

November 25, 2004
Notes by Charles Mitchell

§14 Frobenius and Verschiebung

Definition. The *absolute Frobenius morphism* $\sigma_X : X \rightarrow X$ of a scheme over \mathbb{F}_p is the identity on points and the map $a \mapsto a^p$ on sections. Note that this is functorial: for all morphisms $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ of schemes over \mathbb{F}_p , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & Y \\ \sigma_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & Y \end{array}$$

commutes. Also, absolute Frobenius is compatible with products in the sense that $\sigma_{X \times Y} = \sigma_X \times \sigma_Y$.

For the following we fix a field k of characteristic p . All tensor products and fiber products are taken over k , unless explicitly stated.

Definition. For any scheme X over $\text{Spec } k$ define $X^{(p)}$ as the fiber product and F_X as the induced morphism in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & & \xrightarrow{\sigma_X} & & X \\ & \searrow^{F_X} & & \searrow & \\ & & X^{(p)} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \text{Spec } k & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\text{Spec } k}} & \text{Spec } k \end{array}$$

F_X is called the *relative Frobenius morphism* of X over $\text{Spec } k$.

Proposition 14.1. (a) F_X is functorial in X : for all morphisms $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ of schemes over k , the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{F_X} & X^{(p)} = X \otimes_{k, \sigma} k \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi^{(p)} = \varphi \otimes id \\ Y & \xrightarrow{F_Y} & Y^{(p)} = Y \otimes_{k, \sigma} k \end{array}$$

(b) F_X is compatible with products, i.e., the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times_k Y & \xrightarrow{F_X \times F_Y} & X^{(p)} \times_k Y^{(p)} \\ & \searrow_{F_{X \times Y}} & \wr \parallel \\ & & (X \times_k Y)^{(p)} \end{array}$$

(c) F_X is compatible with base extensions $k \hookrightarrow k'$, i.e., the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{k'} & \xrightarrow{F_{X_{k'}}} & (X_{k'})^{(p)} \\ & \searrow_{(F_X)_{k'}} & \wr \parallel \\ & & (X^{(p)})_{k'} \end{array}$$

Corollary 14.2. For any group scheme G over k , the morphism $F_G : G \rightarrow G^{(p)}$ is a homomorphism.

Now let G be a finite commutative group scheme over k . Then the Frobenius morphism of G^* induces a homomorphism $F_{G^*} : G^* \rightarrow (G^*)^{(p)} \cong (G^{(p)})^*$.

Definition. The homomorphism $V_G : G^{(p)} \rightarrow G$ dual to F_{G^*} is called the *Verschiebung* of G .

Frobenius and Verschiebung are thus two morphisms going in opposite directions. It seems natural to attempt

- (a) to extend the definition of the Verschiebung to arbitrary affine group schemes, and
- (b) to determine the composites $V_G \circ F_G$ and $F_G \circ V_G$.

To achieve (a), we write $G = \text{Spec } A$ and let $\text{Sym}^p A$ denote the p -th symmetric power of A over k . We can then expand the definition of F_G on coordinate rings as the composite in the top line of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} x \cdot a^p & \longleftarrow & [x(a \otimes \cdots \otimes a)] & \longleftarrow & a \otimes x \\ & & & & \\ A & \longleftarrow & \text{Sym}^p A & \longleftarrow & A \otimes_{k, \sigma} k \\ & \swarrow_{\text{mult}} & \uparrow & & \\ & & A^{\otimes p} & & \end{array}$$

We claim that the formula on the upper right defines a k -linear homomorphism. Indeed, only the additivity needs to be checked. But the mixed terms in the expansion

$$x(a+b) \otimes \cdots \otimes (a+b) = x(a \otimes \cdots \otimes a) + x(b \otimes \cdots \otimes b) + \text{mixed terms}$$

can be grouped into orbits under the symmetric group S_p , and since the length of each orbit is a multiple of p , the corresponding sums vanish in $\text{Sym}^p A$, proving the claim.

If A is finite-dimensional over k , we can take the above diagram for A^* instead of A and dualize it over k to represent Verschiebung as the composite in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (A^{\otimes p})^{S_p} \xrightarrow{\lambda_A} A \otimes_{k,\sigma} k \\
 \searrow \text{comult} & & \downarrow \\
 & & A^{\otimes p}
 \end{array}$$

Here λ_A is the unique k -linear map taking any element $x \cdot (a \otimes \cdots \otimes a)$ to $a \otimes x$. One easily verifies that this map exists for any k -vector space A , so the above diagram can be constructed for any affine commutative group scheme $G = \text{Spec } A$. It can be checked that the composite map $A \rightarrow A \otimes_{k,\sigma} k$ is a homomorphism of k -algebras compatible with the comultiplication. It therefore corresponds to a homomorphism of group schemes $V_G : G^{(p)} \rightarrow G$.

Definition. This V_G is the *Verschiebung* for general G .

Proposition 14.3. (a) V_G is functorial in G , i.e., the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G^{(p)} & \xrightarrow{V_G} & G \\
 \varphi^{(p)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\
 H^{(p)} & \xrightarrow{V_H} & H
 \end{array}$$

(b) V_G is compatible with products, i.e., the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (G \times H)^{(p)} & \cong & G^{(p)} \times H^{(p)} \\
 \searrow V_{G \times H} & & \downarrow V_G \times V_H \\
 & & G \times H
 \end{array}$$

(c) V_G is compatible with base extensions, i.e., the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (G_{k'})^{(p)} & \cong & (G^{(p)})_{k'} \\
 \searrow V_{(G_{k'})} & & \downarrow (V_G)_{k'} \\
 & & G
 \end{array}$$

We are now in a position to tackle the above question (b).

Theorem 14.4. For any affine commutative group scheme G ,

(a) $V_G \circ F_G = p \cdot \text{id}_G$,

(b) $F_G \circ V_G = p \cdot \text{id}_{G^{(p)}}$.

Proof. (a) By the above constructions, Frobenius and Verschiebung correspond to the maps F_A and V_A in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & & V_A \\
 & & & & \curvearrowright \\
 A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (A^{\otimes p})^{S_p} & \xrightarrow{\lambda_A} & A \otimes_{\sigma, k} k \\
 & \searrow \text{comult} & \downarrow & & \downarrow F_A \\
 & & A^{\otimes p} & \xrightarrow{\text{mult}} & A
 \end{array}$$

The definition of λ_A implies that the right hand square commutes. In terms of group schemes, this diagram becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G & \xleftarrow{V_G} & G^{(p)} \\
 & \searrow p \cdot \text{id}_G & \uparrow F_G \\
 & & G \\
 & \swarrow \text{mult} & \xleftarrow{\text{diag}} G^{\times p}
 \end{array}$$

where the composite is by definition $p \cdot \text{id}_G$.

(b) As Verschiebung is compatible with base change, we have $(V_G)^{(p)} = V_{G^{(p)}}$. The functoriality of Frobenius thus implies that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G^{(p)} & \xrightarrow{F_{G^{(p)}}} & G^{(p^2)} \\
 V_G \downarrow & & \downarrow (V_G)^{(p)} = V_{G^{(p)}} \\
 G & \xrightarrow{F_G} & G^{(p)}
 \end{array}$$

commutes; its diagonal is already known by (a) to be $p \cdot \text{id}_{G^{(p)}}$. □

Examples. • F_G and V_G are zero for $G = \alpha_{p,k}$.

• F_G is zero and V_G an isomorphism for $G = \mu_{p,k}$.

• F_G is an isomorphism for $G = \underline{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}_k$.

§15 The canonical decomposition

Let G be a finite commutative group scheme over k .

Proposition 15.1. The following are equivalent:

- (i) $G_{k^{\text{sep}}}$ is constant.
- (ii) G is étale.
- (iii) F_G is an isomorphism.

Proof. The equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) has already been shown in Proposition 12.1. To show (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii), note that the group scheme G is étale iff its tangent space at 0 is trivial. As the absolute and relative Frobenius morphisms are zero on this tangent space, the étaleness of G is equivalent to F_G being an infinitesimal isomorphism, which — as F_G is a bijection on points — is in turn equivalent to F_G being an isomorphism as such. \square

Dualizing Proposition 15.1 yields:

Proposition 15.2. The following are equivalent:

- (i) $G_{k^{\text{sep}}}$ is a direct sum of $\mu_{n_i, k^{\text{sep}}}$ for suitable n_i .
- (ii) G^* is étale.
- (iii) V_G is an isomorphism.

Proposition 15.3. The connected component G^0 of the zero section in G is a closed subgroup scheme, and G/G^0 is étale.

Proof. Since the unique point in G^0 is defined over the base field k , the product $G^0 \times G^0$ over k is connected. It is also open in $G \times G$; therefore it is the connected component of zero in $G \times G$. Thus the restriction to $G^0 \times G^0$ of the multiplication morphism $G \times G \rightarrow G$ factors through G^0 , showing that G^0 is a (closed) subgroup scheme of G .

To show that G/G^0 is étale, we may assume without loss of generality that k is algebraically closed. Then G decomposes as $\coprod_{g \in G(k)} G^0 \cdot g$ and we can infer that

$$G/G^0 = \coprod_{g \in G(k)} \text{Spec } k,$$

which is the constant group scheme $\underline{G(k)}_k$, and therefore étale. \square

From now on we impose the standing

Assumption. The field k is perfect.

Proposition 15.4. The reduced closed subscheme $G^{\text{red}} \subset G$ with the same support as G is a closed subgroup scheme, and the map $(g, g') \mapsto g + g'$ defines an isomorphism $G^0 \oplus G^{\text{red}} \xrightarrow{\sim} G$.

Proof. As k is perfect, all residue fields of G^{red} are separable over k , implying that $G^{\text{red}} \times G^{\text{red}} \subset G \times G$ is again reduced. Therefore the restriction to $G^{\text{red}} \times G^{\text{red}}$ of the multiplication morphism $G \times G \rightarrow G$ factors through G^{red} , showing that G^{red} is a (closed) subgroup scheme of G .

To prove the second assertion it suffices to show that the morphism $G^{\text{red}} \rightarrow G/G^0$ is an isomorphism. Since the formation of both sides is compatible with base extension, we may assume that k is separably closed. Then $G^{\text{red}} \rightarrow G/G^0$ is a bijective homomorphism between constant group schemes and hence an isomorphism. \square

Example. Regard an inseparable field extension $k' = k(\sqrt[p]{u}) \supsetneq k$. Set $G_i := \text{Spec } k[t]/(t^p - u^i)$ and define a group operation on $G := \coprod_{i=0}^{p-1} G_i$ by

$$\begin{aligned} G_i \times G_j &\rightarrow G_{i+j}, & (t, t') &\mapsto tt' && \text{if } i+j < p, \\ G_i \times G_j &\rightarrow G_{i+j-p}, & (t, t') &\mapsto tt'/u && \text{if } i+j \geq p. \end{aligned}$$

Then $G^0 = G_0 \cong \mathbb{F}_{p,k}$, and we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p,k} \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p,k} \rightarrow 0.$$

This sequence is non-split, because $G_i \cong \text{Spec } k' \not\cong G_0$ for $i \neq 0$.

Example. With k'/k as above, set $G_i := \text{Spec } k[t]/(t^p - iu)$ and define a group operation on $G := \coprod_{i=0}^{p-1} G_i$ by

$$G_i \times G_j \rightarrow G_{i+j}, \quad (t, t') \mapsto t + t'.$$

Then $G^0 = G_0 \cong \mathbb{F}_{p,k}$, and we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p,k} \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p,k} \rightarrow 0.$$

This sequence is non-split, because $G_i \cong \text{Spec } k' \not\cong G_0$ for $i \neq 0$.

Definition. A finite commutative group scheme G is called *local* if $G = G^0$ and *reduced* if $G = G^{\text{red}}$. It is called *of x - y type* if G is x and G^* is y .

Theorem 15.5. There is a unique and functorial decomposition of G as

$$G = G_{rr} \oplus G_{rl} \oplus G_{lr} \oplus G_{ll}$$

where the direct summands are of reduced-reduced, reduced-local, local-reduced, and local-local type, respectively.

Proof. The decomposition $G = G^0 \oplus G^{\text{red}}$ is functorial in G , as both G^0 and G^{red} are. Applying this functoriality in turn to G^* and dualizing back using the equality $(G \oplus H)^* = G^* \oplus H^*$ completes the proof. \square

Remark. The functoriality includes the fact that any homomorphism between groups of different types is zero. The decomposition is also invariant under base extension.

Definition. The n -th iterates of Frobenius and Verschiebung are the composite homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} F_G^n : G &\xrightarrow{F_G} G^{(p)} \xrightarrow{F_{G^{(p)}}} \dots \longrightarrow G^{(p^n)}, \\ V_G^n : G^{(p^n)} &\longrightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{V_{G^{(p)}}} G^{(p)} \xrightarrow{V_G} G. \end{aligned}$$

We call F_G *nilpotent* if $F_G^n = 0$ for some $n \geq 0$, and similarly for V_G .

Proposition 15.6. We have the following equivalences:

- (a) G is reduced-reduced \Leftrightarrow both F_G and V_G are isomorphisms.
- (b) G is reduced-local \Leftrightarrow F_G is an isomorphism and V_G is nilpotent.
- (c) G is local-reduced \Leftrightarrow F_G is nilpotent and V_G is an isomorphism.
- (d) G is local-local \Leftrightarrow both F_G and V_G are nilpotent.

Proof. Consider the decomposition $G = G^0 \oplus G^{\text{red}}$ from Proposition 15.4. Since the maximal ideal at the unit element of G^0 is nilpotent, it is annihilated by some power of the absolute Frobenius, and hence by the same power of the relative Frobenius. Thus Frobenius is nilpotent on G^0 , while by Proposition 15.1 it is an isomorphism on G^{red} . From this it follows formally that G is reduced, resp. local, if and only if F_G is an isomorphism, resp. nilpotent. Applying this to G^* as well finishes the proof. \square

Note. By §12 we already understand G_{rr} and $G_{r\ell}$, and by duality also $G_{\ell r}$. So the goal now is to understand $G_{\ell\ell}$. The problem is the complicated extension structure of such groups!

§16 Split local-local group schemes

(This section was actually presented on December 16, but logically belongs here.)

Proposition 16.1. There is a natural isomorphism $\text{End}(\alpha_{p,k}) \cong k$.

Proof. There are natural homomorphisms $k \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathfrak{a}_{p,k}) \rightarrow k$, the first representing the multiplication action of k , the second the action on the tangent space of $\mathfrak{a}_{p,k}$. Clearly their composite is the identity, so the second map is surjective. On the other hand, consider an endomorphism $\varphi \in \text{End}(\mathfrak{a}_{p,k})$ with $d\varphi = 0$. Then $\ker \varphi$ has a non-zero tangent space, so it is a non-zero subgroup scheme of $\mathfrak{a}_{p,k}$. Since $\mathfrak{a}_{p,k}$ is simple by Proposition 13.3, it follows that $\ker \varphi = \mathfrak{a}_{p,k}$ and hence $\varphi = 0$. This shows that the second map is injective. We conclude that the two maps are mutually inverse isomorphisms. \square

Proposition 16.2. Any finite commutative group scheme G with $F_G = 0$ and $V_G = 0$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of $\mathfrak{a}_{p,k}$.

Proof. In fact we will prove that $G \cong \mathfrak{a}_{p,k}^{\oplus n}$ for $n := \dim_k T_{G,0}$. For this write $G = \text{Spec } A$ and $A = k \oplus I$, where I is the augmentation ideal. Then the isomorphism $T_{G,0} \cong (I/I^2)^*$ implies that I is generated by n elements. On the other hand, since $F_G = 0$, we have $\xi^p = 0$ for every $\xi \in I$. In particular I is nilpotent; hence its n generators generate A as a k -algebra. (This is a standard result from commutative algebra, and a nice exercise!) Write $A = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/J$ and $I = (X_1, \dots, X_n)/J$ for some ideal J . Then $X_i^p \in J$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, and therefore A is a quotient of $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(X_1^p, \dots, X_n^p)$. In particular $|G| = \dim_k A \leq p^n$.

Next note that for any homomorphism $\varphi : G^* \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a,k}$, the functoriality of Frobenius and the assumption $V_G = 0$ imply that

$$F_{\mathbb{G}_{a,k}} \circ \varphi \stackrel{14.1}{=} \varphi^{(p)} \circ F_{G^*} = \varphi^{(p)} \circ (V_G)^* = 0.$$

Thus φ factors through the kernel of $F_{\mathbb{G}_{a,k}}$, that is, through $\mathfrak{a}_{p,k}$. Taking Proposition 13.1 into account, we find that

$$n = \dim_k T_{G,0} = \dim_k \text{Hom}(G^*, \mathbb{G}_{a,k}) = \dim_k \text{Hom}(G^*, \mathfrak{a}_{p,k}).$$

We claim that there exists an epimorphism $G^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_{p,k}^{\oplus n}$. Indeed, suppose that an epimorphism $\psi : G^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_{p,k}^{\oplus i}$ has been constructed for some $0 \leq i < n$. Then the induced linear map $k^i \cong \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{a}_{p,k}^{\oplus i}, \mathfrak{a}_{p,k}) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(G^*, \mathfrak{a}_{p,k})$ is a proper embedding. Any homomorphism $\varphi : G^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_{p,k}$ not in the image has a non-trivial restriction to $\ker \psi$, and since $\mathfrak{a}_{p,k}$ is simple, the combined homomorphism $(\psi, \varphi) : G^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_{p,k}^{\oplus i} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{p,k}$ is again an epimorphism. Thus the claim follows by induction on i . Finally, by Cartier duality the claim yields a monomorphism $\mathfrak{a}_{p,k}^{\oplus n} \hookrightarrow G$. By the above inequality $|G| \leq p^n$, this monomorphism must be an isomorphism, finishing the proof. \square

Theorem 16.3. Every simple finite commutative group scheme of local-local type is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{a}_{p,k}$.

Proof. Combine Propositions 15.6 (d) and 16.2. \square