Monodromy Groups associated to Non-Isotrivial Drinfeld Modules in Generic Characteristic

Florian Breuer¹ and Richard Pink²

- Dept. of Mathematics, University of Stellenbosch, Stellenbosch 7602, South Africa. fbreuer@sun.ac.za
- ² Dept. of Mathematics, ETH Zentrum, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland. pink@math.ethz.ch

Summary. Let φ be a non-isotrivial family of Drinfeld A-modules of rank r in generic characteristic with a suitable level structure over a connected smooth algebraic variety X. Suppose that the endomorphism ring of φ is equal to A. Then we show that the closure of the analytic monodromy group of X in $\mathrm{SL}_r(\mathbb{A}_F^f)$ is open, where \mathbb{A}_F^f denotes the ring of finite adèles of the quotient field F of A.

From this we deduce two further results: (1) If X is defined over a finitely generated field extension of F, the image of the arithmetic étale fundamental group of X on the adèlic Tate module of φ is open in $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A}_F^f)$. (2) Let ψ be a Drinfeld A-module of rank r defined over a finitely generated field extension of F, and suppose that ψ cannot be defined over a finite extension of F. Suppose again that the endomorphism ring of ψ is A. Then the image of the Galois representation on the adèlic Tate module of ψ is open in $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A}_F^f)$.

Finally, we extend the above results to the case of arbitrary endomorphism rings.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11F80, 11G09, 14D05. Keywords: Drinfeld modules, Drinfeld moduli spaces, Fundamental s

Keywords: Drinfeld modules, Drinfeld moduli spaces, Fundamental groups, Galois representations.

1 Analytic monodromy groups

Let \mathbb{F}_p be the finite prime field with p elements. Let F be a finitely generated field of transcendence degree 1 over \mathbb{F}_p . Let A be the ring of elements of F which are regular outside a fixed place ∞ of F. Let M be the fine moduli space over F of Drinfeld A-modules of rank r with some sufficiently high level structure. This is a smooth affine scheme of dimension r-1 over F.

Let F_{∞} denote the completion of F at ∞ , and \mathbb{C} the completion of an algebraic closure of F_{∞} . Then the rigid analytic variety $M_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{an}}$ is a finite disjoint

union of spaces of the form $\Delta \setminus \Omega$, where $\Omega \subset (\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{r-1})^{\mathrm{an}}$ is Drinfeld's upper half space and Δ is a congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_r(F)$ commensurable with $\mathrm{SL}_r(A)$.

Let $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a smooth irreducible locally closed algebraic subvariety of $M_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $X_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{an}}$ lies in one of the components $\Delta \backslash \Omega$ of $M_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{an}}$. Fix an irreducible component $\Xi \subset \Omega$ of the pre-image of $X_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{an}}$. Then $\Xi \to X_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{an}}$ is an unramified Galois covering whose Galois group $\Delta_{\Xi} := \mathrm{Stab}_{\Delta}(\Xi)$ is a quotient of the analytic fundamental group of $X_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{an}}$.

Let φ denote the family of Drinfeld modules over $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ determined by the embedding $X_{\mathbb{C}} \subset M_{\mathbb{C}}$. We assume that $\dim X_{\mathbb{C}} \geq 1$. Since M is a fine moduli space, this means that φ is non-isotrivial. It also implies that $r \geq 2$. Let $\eta_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the generic point of $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\bar{\eta}_{\mathbb{C}}$ a geometric point above it. Let $\varphi_{\bar{\eta}_{\mathbb{C}}}$ denote the pullback of φ to $\bar{\eta}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let \mathbb{A}_F^f denote the ring of finite adèles of F. The main result of this article is the following:

Theorem 1. In the above situation, if $\operatorname{End}_{\bar{\eta}_{\mathbb{C}}}(\varphi_{\bar{\eta}_{\mathbb{C}}}) = A$, then the closure of Δ_{Ξ} in $\operatorname{SL}_r(\mathbb{A}_F^f)$ is an open subgroup of $\operatorname{SL}_r(\mathbb{A}_F^f)$.

The proof uses known results on the p-adic Galois representations associated to Drinfeld modules [Pi97] and on strong approximation [Pi00].

Theorem 1 leaves open the following natural question:

Question 1. If $\operatorname{End}_{\bar{\eta}_{\mathbb{C}}}(\varphi_{\bar{\eta}_{\mathbb{C}}}) = A$, is Δ_{Ξ} an arithmetic subgroup of $\operatorname{SL}_r(F)$?

Theorem 1 has applications to the analogue of the André-Oort conjecture for Drinfeld moduli spaces: see [Br]. Consequences for étale monodromy groups and for Galois representations are explained in Sections 2 and 3. The proof of Theorem 1 will be given in Sections 4 through 7. Finally, in Section 8 we outline the case of arbitrary endomorphism rings.

For any variety Y over a field k and any extension field L of k we will abbreviate $Y_L := Y \times_k L$.

2 Étale monodromy groups

We retain the notations from Section 1. Let $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a subfield that is finitely generated over F, such that $X_{\mathbb{C}} = X \times_k \mathbb{C}$ for a subvariety $X \subset M_k$. Let K denote the function field of X and K^{sep} a separable closure of K. Then $\eta := \operatorname{Spec} K$ is the generic point of X and $\bar{\eta} := \operatorname{Spec} K^{\text{sep}}$ a geometric point above η . Let k^{sep} be the separable closure of k in K^{sep} . Then we have a short exact sequence of étale fundamental groups

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(X_{k^{\text{sep}}}, \bar{\eta}) \longrightarrow \pi_1(X, \bar{\eta}) \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k) \to 1.$$

Let $\hat{A} \cong \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \neq \infty} A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denote the profinite completion of A. Recall that $\mathbb{A}_F^f \cong F \otimes_A \hat{A}$ and contains \hat{A} as an open subring. Let φ_{η} denote the Drinfeld module over K corresponding to η . Its adèlic Tate module $\hat{T}(\varphi_{\eta})$ is a free module of rank r over \hat{A} . Choose a basis and let

$$\rho: \pi_1(X, \bar{\eta}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_r(\hat{A}) \subset \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A}_F^f)$$

denote the associated monodromy representation. Let $\Gamma^{\text{geom}} \subset \Gamma \subset GL_r(\hat{A})$ denote the images of $\pi_1(X_{k^{\text{sep}}}, \bar{\eta}) \subset \pi_1(X, \bar{\eta})$ under ρ .

Lemma 1. Γ^{geom} is the closure of $g^{-1}\Delta_{\Xi}g$ in $\operatorname{SL}_r(\hat{A})$ for some element $g \in \operatorname{GL}_r(\mathbb{A}_F^f)$.

Proof. Choose an embedding $K^{\text{sep}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and a point $\xi \in \Xi$ above $\bar{\eta}$. Let $\Lambda \subset F^r$ be the lattice corresponding to the Drinfeld module at ξ . This is a finitely generated projective A-module of rank r. The choice of a basis of $\hat{T}(\varphi_n)$ yields a composite embedding

$$\hat{A}^r \cong \hat{T}(\varphi_n) \cong \Lambda \otimes_A \hat{A} \hookrightarrow F^r \otimes_A \hat{A} \cong (\mathbb{A}_F^f)^r,$$

which is given by left multiplication with some element $g \in GL_r(\mathbb{A}_F^f)$. Since the discrete group $\Delta \subset SL_r(F)$ preserves Λ , we have $g^{-1}\Delta g \subset SL_r(\hat{A})$.

For any non-zero ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$ let $M(\mathfrak{a})$ denote the moduli space obtained from M by adjoining a full level \mathfrak{a} structure. Then $\pi_{\mathfrak{a}} \colon M(\mathfrak{a}) \twoheadrightarrow M$ is an étale Galois covering with group contained in $\mathrm{GL}_r(A/\mathfrak{a})$, and one of the connected components of $M(\mathfrak{a})^{\mathrm{an}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ above the connected component $\Delta \setminus \Omega$ of $M^{\mathrm{an}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ has the form $\Delta(\mathfrak{a}) \setminus \Omega$ for

$$\Delta(\mathfrak{a}) := \{ \delta \in \Delta \mid g^{-1} \delta g \equiv \mathrm{id} \, \mathrm{mod} \, \mathfrak{a} \hat{A} \}.$$

Let $X(\mathfrak{a})_{k^{\text{sep}}}$ be any connected component of the inverse image $\pi_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}(X_{k^{\text{sep}}}) \subset M(\mathfrak{a})_{k^{\text{sep}}}$. Since k^{sep} is separably closed, the variety $X(\mathfrak{a})_{\mathbb{C}}$ over \mathbb{C} obtained by base change is again connected. The associated rigid analytic variety $X(\mathfrak{a})_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{an}}$ is then also connected (cf. [Lü74, Kor. 3.5]) and therefore a connected component of $\pi_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}(X_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{an}})$. But one of these connected components is $(\Delta_{\Xi} \cap \Delta(\mathfrak{a})) \setminus \Xi$, whose Galois group over $X_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{an}} \cong \Delta_{\Xi} \setminus \Xi$ is $\Delta_{\Xi}/(\Delta_{\Xi} \cap \Delta(\mathfrak{a}))$. This implies that $g^{-1}\Delta_{\Xi}g$ and $\pi_1(X_{k^{\text{sep}}},\bar{\eta})$ have the same images in $\mathrm{GL}_r(A/\mathfrak{a}) = \mathrm{GL}_r(\hat{A}/\mathfrak{a}\hat{A})$. By taking the inverse limit over the ideal \mathfrak{a} we deduce that the closure of $g^{-1}\Delta_{\Xi}g$ in $\mathrm{SL}_r(\hat{A})$ is Γ^{geom} , as desired.

Lemma 2.
$$\operatorname{End}_{K^{\operatorname{sep}}}(\varphi_n) = \operatorname{End}_{\bar{n}_{\mathbb{C}}}(\varphi_{\bar{n}_{\mathbb{C}}}).$$

Proof. By construction $\bar{\eta}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a geometric point above η , and $\varphi_{\bar{\eta}_{\mathbb{C}}}$ is the pullback of φ_{η} . Any embedding of K^{sep} into the residue field of $\bar{\eta}_{\mathbb{C}}$ induces a morphism $\bar{\eta}_{\mathbb{C}} \to \bar{\eta}$. Thus the assertion follows from the fact that for every Drinfeld module over a field, any endomorphism defined over any field extension is already defined over a finite separable extension.

Theorem 2. In the above situation, suppose that $\operatorname{End}_{K^{\operatorname{sep}}}(\varphi_{\eta}) = A$. Then

- (a) Γ^{geom} is an open subgroup of $SL_r(\mathbb{A}_F^f)$, and
- (b) Γ is an open subgroup of $GL_r(\mathbb{A}_F^f)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2 the assumption implies that $\operatorname{End}_{\bar{\eta}_{\mathbb{C}}}(\varphi_{\bar{\eta}_{\mathbb{C}}}) = A$. Thus part (a) follows at once from Theorem 1 and Lemma 1. Part (b) follows from (a) and the fact that $\det(\Gamma)$ is open in $\operatorname{GL}_1(\mathbb{A}_F^f)$. This fact is a consequence of work of Drinfeld [Dr74, §8 Thm. 1] and Hayes [Ha79, Thm. 9.2] on the abelian class field theory of F, and of Anderson [An86] on the determinant Drinfeld module. Note that Anderson's paper only treats the case $A = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$; the general case has been worked out by van der Heiden [He03, Chap. 4]. Compare also [Pi97, Thm. 1.8].

3 Galois groups

Let F and A be as in Section 1. Let K be a finitely generated extension field of F of arbitrary transcendence degree, and let $\psi: A \to K\{\tau\}$ be a Drinfeld A-module of rank r over K. Let K^{sep} denote a separable closure of K and

$$\sigma: \operatorname{Gal}(K^{\operatorname{sep}}/K) \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}_r(\mathbb{A}_F^f)$$

the natural representation on the adèlic Tate module of ψ . Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A}_F^f)$ denote its image.

Theorem 3. In the above situation, suppose that $\operatorname{End}_{K^{\operatorname{sep}}}(\psi) = A$ and that ψ cannot be defined over a finite extension of F inside K^{sep} . Then Γ is an open subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}_r(\mathbb{A}_F^f)$.

Proof. The assertion is invariant under replacing K by a finite extension. We may therefore assume that ψ possesses a sufficiently high level structure over K. Then ψ corresponds to a K-valued point on the moduli space M from Section 1. Let η denote the underlying point on the scheme M, and let $L \subset K$ be its residue field. Then ψ is already defined over L, and σ factors through the natural homomorphism $\operatorname{Gal}(K^{\operatorname{sep}}/K) \to \operatorname{Gal}(L^{\operatorname{sep}}/L)$, where L^{sep} is the separable closure of L in K^{sep} . Since K is finitely generated over L, the intersection $K \cap L^{\operatorname{sep}}$ is finite over L; hence the image of this homomorphism is open. To prove the theorem we may thus replace K by L, after which K is the residue field of η .

The assumption on ψ implies that even after this reduction, K is not a finite extension of F. Therefore its transcendence degree over F is ≥ 1 . Let k denote the algebraic closure of F in K. Then η can be viewed as the generic point of a geometrically irreducible and reduced locally closed algebraic subvariety $X \subset M_k$ of dimension ≥ 1 . After shrinking X we may assume that

X is smooth. We are then precisely in the situation of the preceding section, with $\psi = \varphi_{\eta}$. The homomorphism σ above is then the composite

$$\operatorname{Gal}(K^{\operatorname{sep}}/K) \cong \pi_1(\eta, \bar{\eta}) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(X, \bar{\eta}) \xrightarrow{\rho} \operatorname{GL}_r(\mathbb{A}_F^f)$$

with ρ as in Section 2. It follows that the groups called Γ in this section and the last coincide. The desired openness is now equivalent to Theorem 2 (b).

Note. The adèlic openness for a Drinfeld module ψ as in Theorem 3, but defined over a *finite* extension of F, is conjectured yet still unproved.

4 p-Adic openness

This section and the next three are devoted to proving Theorem 1. Throughout we retain the notations from Sections 1 and 2 and the assumptions dim $X \geq$ 1 and $\operatorname{End}_{\bar{\eta}_{\mathbb{C}}}(\varphi_{\bar{\eta}_{\mathbb{C}}}) = A$. In this section we recall a known result on \mathfrak{p} -adic openness. For any place $\mathfrak{p} \neq \infty$ of F let $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denote the image of Γ under the projection $GL_r(\mathbb{A}_F^f) \to GL_r(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

Theorem 4. $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is open in $\mathrm{GL}_r(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

Proof. By construction $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the image of the monodromy representation

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{p}} : \pi_1(X, \bar{\eta}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_r(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$$

on the rational p-adic Tate module of φ_{η} . This is the same as the image of the composite homomorphism

$$\operatorname{Gal}(K^{\operatorname{sep}}/K) \cong \pi_1(\eta, \bar{\eta}) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(X, \bar{\eta}) \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathfrak{p}}} \operatorname{GL}_r(F_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Since K is a finitely generated extension of F, and $\operatorname{End}_{K^{\operatorname{sep}}}(\varphi_{\eta}) = A$ by the assumption and Lemma 2, the desired openness is a special case of [Pi97, Thm. 0.1].

Next let $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{geom}}$ denote the image of Γ^{geom} under the projection $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A}_F^f) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_r(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Note that this is a normal subgroup of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Lemma 1 immediately implies:

Lemma 3. $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{geom}}$ is the closure of $g^{-1}\Delta_{\Xi}g$ in $\mathrm{SL}_r(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for some element $g \in \mathrm{GL}_r(F_{\mathfrak{p}}).$

5 Zariski density

Lemma 4. The Zariski closure H of Δ_{Ξ} in $GL_{r,F}$ is a normal subgroup of $GL_{r,F}$.

Proof. Choose a place $\mathfrak{p} \neq \infty$ of F. Then by base extension $H_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is the Zariski closure of Δ_{Ξ} in $\mathrm{GL}_{r,F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. Thus Lemma 3 implies that $g^{-1}H_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}g$ is the Zariski closure of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{geom}}$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{r,F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. Since $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ normalizes $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{geom}}$, it therefore normalizes $g^{-1}H_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}g$. But $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is open in $\mathrm{GL}_r(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ by Theorem 4 and therefore Zariski dense in $\mathrm{GL}_{r,F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. Thus $\mathrm{GL}_{r,F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ normalizes $g^{-1}H_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}g$ and hence $H_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$, and the result follows.

Lemma 5. Δ_{Ξ} is infinite.

Proof. Let X, K, k and φ_{η} be as in Section 2. Then, as M_k is affine and $\dim X \geq 1$, there exists a valuation v of K, corresponding to a point on the boundary of X not on M_k , at which φ_{η} does not have potential good reduction. Denote by $I_v \subset \operatorname{Gal}(K^{\operatorname{sep}}/Kk^{\operatorname{sep}})$ the inertia group at v. By the criterion of Néron-Ogg-Shafarevich [Go96, §4.10], the image of I_v in $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\operatorname{geom}}$ is infinite for any place $\mathfrak{p} \neq \infty$ of F. In particular, Δ_{Ξ} is infinite by Lemma 3, as desired.

Alternatively, we may argue as follows. Suppose that Δ_{Ξ} is finite. Then after increasing the level structure we may assume that $\Delta_{\Xi} = 1$. Then $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{geom}} = 1$ by Lemma 3, which means that $\rho_{\mathfrak{p}}$ factors as

$$\pi_1(X, \bar{\eta}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(k^{\operatorname{sep}}/k) \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}_r(F_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

After a suitable finite extension of the constant field k we may assume that X possesses a k-rational point x. Let φ_x denote the Drinfeld module over k corresponding to x. Via the embedding $k \subset K$ we may consider it as a Drinfeld module over K and compare it with φ_η . The factorization above implies that the Galois representations on the \mathfrak{p} -adic Tate modules of φ_x and φ_η are isomorphic. By the Tate conjecture (see [Tag95] or [Tam95]) this implies that there exists an isogeny $\varphi_x \to \varphi_\eta$ over K. Its kernel is finite and therefore defined over some finite extension k' of k. Thus φ_η , as a quotient of φ_x by this kernel, is isomorphic to a Drinfeld module defined over k'. But the assumption $\dim X \geq 1$ implies that η is not a closed point of M_k ; hence φ_η cannot be defined over a finite extension of k. This is a contradiction. \square

Proposition 1. Δ_{Ξ} is Zariski dense in $SL_{r,F}$.

Proof. By construction we have $H \subset \mathrm{SL}_{r,F}$, and Lemma 5 implies that H is not contained in the center of $\mathrm{SL}_{r,F}$. From Lemma 4 it now follows that $H = \mathrm{SL}_{r,F}$, as desired.

The above results may be viewed as analogues of André's results [An92, Thm. 1, Prop. 2], comparing the monodromy group of a variation of Hodge structures with its generic Mumford-Tate group. Our analogue of the former is Δ_{Ξ} , and by [Pi97] the latter corresponds to $\mathrm{GL}_{r,F}$. In our situation, however, we do not need the existence of a special point on X.

6 Fields of coefficients

Let $\bar{\Delta}_{\Xi}$ denote the image of Δ_{Ξ} in $\mathrm{PGL}_r(F)$. In this section we show that the field of coefficients of $\bar{\Delta}_{\Xi}$ cannot be reduced.

Definition 1. Let L_1 be a subfield of a field L. We say that a subgroup $\bar{\Delta} \subset \operatorname{PGL}_r(L)$ lies in a model of $\operatorname{PGL}_{r,L}$ over L_1 , if there exist a linear algebraic group G_1 over L_1 and an isomorphism $\lambda_1: G_{1,L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{PGL}_{r,L}$, such that $\bar{\Delta} \subset \lambda_1(G_1(L_1))$.

Proposition 2. $\bar{\Delta}_{\Xi}$ does not lie in a model of $\operatorname{PGL}_{r,F}$ over a proper subfield of F.

Proof. As before we use an arbitrary auxiliary place $\mathfrak{p} \neq \infty$ of F. Let $\bar{\varGamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{geom}} \triangleleft \bar{\varGamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denote the images of $\varGamma_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{geom}} \triangleleft \varGamma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in $\mathrm{PGL}_r(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Lemma 3 implies that $\bar{\varGamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{geom}}$ is conjugate to the closure of $\bar{\varDelta}_{\Xi}$ in $\mathrm{PGL}_r(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$. By Proposition 1 it is therefore Zariski dense in $\mathrm{PGL}_{r,F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. On the other hand Theorem 4 implies that $\bar{\varGamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an open subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_r(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$. It therefore does not lie in a model of $\mathrm{PGL}_{r,F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ over a proper subfield of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Thus $\bar{\varGamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{geom}}$ is Zariski dense and normal in a subgroup that does not lie in a model over a proper subfield of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$, which by [Pi98, Cor. 3.8] implies that $\bar{\varGamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{geom}}$, too, does not lie in a model over a proper subfield of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Suppose now that $\bar{\Delta}_{\Xi} \subset \lambda_1(G_1(F_1))$ for a subfield $F_1 \subset F$, a linear algebraic group G_1 over F_1 , and an isomorphism $\lambda_1: G_{1,F} \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{PGL}_{r,F}$. Since $\bar{\Delta}_{\Xi}$ is Zariski dense in $\operatorname{PGL}_{r,F}$, it is in particular infinite. Therefore F_1 must be infinite. As F is finitely generated of transcendence degree 1 over \mathbb{F}_p , it follows that F_1 contains a transcendental element, and so F is a finite extension of F_1 . Let \mathfrak{p}_1 denote the place of F_1 below \mathfrak{p} . Since $\bar{\Gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{geom}}$ is the closure of $\bar{\Delta}_{\Xi}$ in $\operatorname{PGL}_r(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$, it is contained in $\lambda_1(G_1(F_{1,\mathfrak{p}_1}))$. The fact that $\bar{\Gamma}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{geom}}$ does not lie in a model over a proper subfield of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ thus implies that $F_{1,\mathfrak{p}_1} = F_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

But for any proper subfield $F_1 \subsetneq F$, we can choose a place $\mathfrak{p} \neq \infty$ of F above a place \mathfrak{p}_1 of F_1 , such that the local field extension $F_{1,\mathfrak{p}_1} \subset F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is non-trivial. Thus we must have $F_1 = F$, as desired.

7 Strong approximation

The remaining ingredient is the following general theorem.

Theorem 5. For $r \geq 2$ let $\Delta \subset \operatorname{SL}_r(F)$ be a subgroup that is contained in a congruence subgroup commensurable with $\operatorname{SL}_r(A)$. Assume that Δ is Zariski dense in $\operatorname{SL}_{r,F}$ and that its image $\bar{\Delta}$ in $\operatorname{PGL}_r(F)$ does not lie in a model of $\operatorname{PGL}_{r,F}$ over a proper subfield of F. Then the closure of Δ in $\operatorname{SL}_r(\mathbb{A}_F^f)$ is open.

Proof. For finitely generated subgroups this is a special case of [Pi00, Thm. 0.2]. That result concerns arbitrary finitely generated Zariski dense subgroups of G(F) for arbitrary semisimple algebraic groups G, but it uses the finite generation only to guarantee that the subgroup is integral at almost all places of F. For Δ as above the integrality at all places $\neq \infty$ is already known in advance, so the proof in [Pi00] covers this case as well.

As an alternative, we will deduce the general case by showing that every sufficiently large finitely generated subgroup $\Delta_1 \subset \Delta$ satisfies the same assumptions. Then the closure of Δ_1 in $\mathrm{SL}_r(\mathbb{A}_F^f)$ is open by [Pi00], and so the same follows for Δ , as desired.

For the Zariski density of Δ_1 note first that the trace of the adjoint representation defines a dominant morphism to the affine line $\operatorname{SL}_{r,F} \to \mathbb{A}^1_F$, $g \mapsto \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Ad}(g))$. Since Δ is Zariski dense, this function takes infinitely many values on Δ . As the field of constants in F is finite, we may therefore choose an element $\gamma \in \Delta$ with $\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Ad}(\gamma))$ transcendental. Then γ has infinite order; hence the Zariski closure $H \subset \operatorname{SL}_{r,F}$ of the abstract subgroup generated by γ has positive dimension. Let H° denote its identity component. Since Δ is Zariski dense and $\operatorname{SL}_{r,F}$ is almost simple, the Δ -conjugates of H° generate $\operatorname{SL}_{r,F}$ as an algebraic group. By noetherian induction finitely many conjugates suffice. It follows that finitely many conjugates of γ generate a Zariski dense subgroup of $\operatorname{SL}_{r,F}$. Thus every sufficiently large finitely generated subgroup $\Delta_1 \subset \Delta$ is Zariski dense.

Consider such Δ_1 and let $\bar{\Delta}_1$ denote its image in $\operatorname{PGL}_r(F)$. Consider all triples (F_1,G_1,λ_1) consisting of a subfield $F_1\subset F$, a linear algebraic group G_1 over F_1 , and an isomorphism $\lambda_1:G_{1,F}\stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow}\operatorname{PGL}_{r,F}$, such that $\bar{\Delta}_1\subset\lambda_1(G_1(F_1))$. By [Pi98, Thm. 3.6] there exists such a triple with F_1 minimal, and this F_1 is unique, and G_1 and λ_1 are determined up to unique isomorphism. Consider another finitely generated subgroup $\Delta_1\subset\Delta_2\subset\Delta$ and let (F_2,H_2,λ_2) be the minimal triple associated to it. Then the uniqueness of (F_1,G_1,λ_1) implies that $F_1\subset F_2$, that $G_2\cong G_{1,F_2}$, and that λ_2 coincides with the isomorphism $G_{2,F}\cong G_{1,F}\to\operatorname{PGL}_{r,F}$ obtained from λ_1 . In other words, the minimal model (F_1,G_1,λ_1) is monotone in Δ_1 .

For any increasing sequence of Zariski dense finitely generated subgroups of Δ we thus obtain an increasing sequence of subfields of F. This sequence must become constant, say equal to $F_1 \subset F$, and the associated model of $\operatorname{PGL}_{r,F}$ over F_1 is the same up to isomorphism from that point onwards. Thus we have a triple (F_1, G_1, λ_1) with $\bar{\Delta}_1 \subset \lambda_1(G_1(F_1))$ for every sufficiently large finitely generated subgroup $\bar{\Delta}_1 \subset \bar{\Delta}$. But then we also have $\bar{\Delta} \subset \lambda_1(G_1(F_1))$, which by assumption implies that $F_1 = F$. Thus every sufficiently large finitely generated subgroup of Δ satisfies the same assumptions as Δ , as desired. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1. In the situation of Theorem 1 we automatically have $r \geq 2$, so the assertion follows by combining Propositions 1 and 2 with Theorem 5 for Δ_{Ξ} .

8 Arbitrary endomorphism rings

Set $E := \operatorname{End}_{\bar{\eta}_{\mathbb{C}}}(\varphi_{\bar{\eta}_{\mathbb{C}}})$, which is a finite integral ring extension of A. Write $r = r' \cdot [E/A]$; then the centralizer of E in $GL_r(\mathbb{A}_F^f)$ is isomorphic to $GL_{r'}(E \otimes_A F)$ \mathbb{A}_{E}^{f}). Lemma 2 implies that all elements of E are defined over some fixed finite extension of K. This means that an open subgroup of $\rho(\pi_1(X,\bar{\eta}))$ is contained in $\operatorname{GL}_{r'}(E \otimes_A \mathbb{A}_F^f)$. Thus by Lemma 1 the same holds for a subgroup of finite index of Δ_{Ξ} . The following results can be deduced easily from Theorems 1, 2, and 3, using the same arguments as in [Pi97, end of §2].

Theorem 6. In the situation of before Theorem 1, for $E := \operatorname{End}_{\bar{\eta}_{\mathbb{C}}}(\varphi_{\bar{\eta}_{\mathbb{C}}})$ arbitrary, the closure in $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A}_F^f)$ of some subgroup of finite index of Δ_{Ξ} is an open subgroup of $\operatorname{SL}_{r'}(E \otimes_A \mathbb{A}_F^f)$.

Theorem 7. In the situation of before Theorem 2, for $E := \operatorname{End}_{K^{\operatorname{sep}}}(\varphi_n)$

- (a) some open subgroup of $\Gamma^{\mathrm{geom}} := \rho(\pi_1(X_{k^{\mathrm{sep}}}, \bar{\eta}))$ is an open subgroup of
- $\mathrm{SL}_{r'}(E\otimes_A\mathbb{A}_F^f)$, and (b) some open subgroup of $\Gamma:=\rho\big(\pi_1(X,\bar{\eta})\big)$ is an open subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{r'}(E\otimes_A$

Theorem 8. In the situation of before Theorem 3, for $E := \operatorname{End}_{K^{\operatorname{sep}}}(\psi)$ arbitrary, suppose that ψ cannot be defined over a finite extension of F inside K^{sep} . Then some open subgroup of $\Gamma := \sigma(\operatorname{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K))$ is an open subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}_{r'}(E \otimes_A \mathbb{A}_F^f)$.

References

- [An86] Anderson, G.: t-Motives. Duke Math. J., 53, 457–502 (1986)
- [An92]André, Y.: Mumford-Tate groups of mixed Hodge structures and the theorem of the fixed part. Compositio Math., 82, 1–24 (1992)
- [Br] Breuer, F.: Special subvarieties of Drinfeld modular varieties. In prepara-
- [Dr74]Drinfeld, V. G.: Elliptic modules (Russian). Math. Sbornik, 94, 594–627 (1974), = Math. USSR-Sb., **23**, 561–592 (1974)
- [Go96] Goss, D.: Basic Structures of Function Field Arithmetic. Ergebnisse 35, Springer, Berlin (1996)
- [Ha79] Hayes, D. R.: Explicit Class Field Theory in Global Function Fields. In: Studies in Algebra and Number Theory. Adv. Math., Suppl. Stud. 6, 173-217, Academic Press, (1979)
- [He03] van der Heiden, G.-J.: Weil pairing and the Drinfeld modular curve. Ph.D. thesis, Rijksuniversiteit Groningen, Groningen (2003)
- [Lü74] Lütkebohmert, W.: Der Satz von Remmert-Stein in der nichtarchimedischen Funktionentheorie. Math. Z., **139**, 69–84 (1974)

- [Pi97] Pink, R.: The Mumford-Tate conjecture for Drinfeld modules. Publ. RIMS, Kyoto University, **33**, 393–425 (1997)
- [Pi98] Pink, R.: Compact subgroups of linear algebraic groups. J. Algebra, 206, 438–504 (1998)
- [Pi00] Pink, R.: Strong approximation for Zariski dense subgroups over arbitrary global fields. Comm. Math. Helv., 75 vol. 4, 608–643 (2000)
- [Tag95] Taguchi, Y.: The Tate conjecture for t-motives. Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 123, 3285–3287 (1995)
- [Tam95] Tamagawa, A.: The Tate conjecture and the semisimplicity conjecture for t-modules. RIMS Kokyuroku, Proc. RIMS, **925**, 89–94 (1995)