1. BASIC FACTS ABOUT FOURIER TRANSFORM

We denote the torus by $\mathbb{T}^d := \mathbb{R}^d/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})^d$ with Lebesgue measure. For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ we define the Fourier transform for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$

$$\hat{f}(n) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(x) e^{-ixn} dx.$$

The inverse Fourier transform is defined for $f_n \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ by

$$\check{f}(x) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f_n e^{ixn}.$$

Theorem 1 (Parseval theorem). Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$, then $\hat{f} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ and

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{\ell^2}^2 = (2\pi)^{-d} \|f\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Moreover, the Fourier transform is bijective.

Exercise 1. Give a proof of this result using the Stone-Weierstrass theorem.

Basic question in Harmonic Analysis: Can we get rates on the convergence?

We claim that for any Lipschitz function $f: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$|\hat{f}(n)| \le |n|^{-1} ||f||_{\text{Lip}},$$

where $||f||_{\text{Lip}} := \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|}$. This follows from writing

$$2\pi \hat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{-inx} f(x) + e^{-in(x+\pi/n)} f(x+\pi/n) \, dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} (f(x) - f(x+\pi/n)) e^{-inx} \, dx.$$

Exercise 2. Generalize the above argument to arbitrary dimensions!

More generally, let f be a Hölder function, i.e.

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_{\alpha}} := \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^{\alpha}},$$

then $\hat{f}(n) = \mathcal{O}(1/|n|^{\alpha}).$

We define the continuous Fourier transform as

$$\hat{f}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-ix\xi} d\xi$$

and also

$$\check{f}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{ix\xi} d\xi.$$

Theorem 2 (Plancherel theorem). For any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\|\hat{f}\|_2 = (2\pi)^{d/2} \|f\|_2$. Moreover, $f = (2\pi)^{-d} \check{f}$. Finally, $f \mapsto (2\pi)^{-d/2} \hat{f}$ is an isometry of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ onto $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

1.1. Schwartz functions and the space of tempered distributions. We start by giving the definition of the Schwartz space

Definition 1.1. S is the space of all $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for which each of the following quantities

$$||f||_{m,n} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \langle x \rangle^n \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} |\partial^{\alpha} f(x)|$$

is finite.

It is complete metrizable space $d(f,g) = \sum_{m,n} 2^{-|(m,n)|} \frac{\|f-g\|_{m,n}}{1+\|f-g\|_{m,n}}$ whose locally convex topology is defined by finite intersections of open sets

$$V_{f,m,n,\varepsilon} := \{ g \in \mathcal{S}; \| f - g \|_{m,n} \le \varepsilon \}.$$

Thus, if $f_n \to g$ in \mathcal{S} then this is equivalent to having $||f_n - g||_{m,n} \to 0$ for all m, n.

Definition 1.2. The set S' is the space of all continuous linear functionals from S to \mathbb{C} .

We can find a topology such that $\varphi_j \to \varphi$ in \mathcal{S}' is equivalent to $\varphi_j(f) \to$ $\varphi(f)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{S}$.

Definition 1.3. S' is a topological vector space for which a neighbourhood base of $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'$ is the collection of all finite intersections of sets

$$V_{\varphi,f,\varepsilon} := \{ \psi \in \mathcal{S}' : |(\varphi - \psi)(f)| \le \varepsilon \}.$$

Remark 1.1. A locally finite Borel measure μ is called tempered if there exist C, N > 0 such that

$$\mu\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d; |x| \le R\} \le CR^N \text{ as } R \to \infty.$$

The set of tempered C^{∞} functions f with measure $d\mu = |f(x)|dx$ turns out to be dense in \mathcal{S}' . Hence, the space \mathcal{S}' got its name: The space of tempered distributions.

Lemma 1.1. To any continuous linear transformation $T : S \to S$, there is an associated continuous linear transformation $T': \mathcal{S}' \to \mathcal{S}'$ defined by

$$(T'\varphi)(f) = \varphi(Tf).$$

Proof. By linearity, it suffices to show continuity at 0. Let V be a neighbor V be neighbor V be a neighbor V b bourhood of 0 in \mathcal{S}' . We must show there is a neighbourhood U of $0 \in S'$ such that $T'(U) \subset V$. There is $\varepsilon > 0$ and finitely many $f_j \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $V \supset \bigcap_{j=1}^{n} V_j$ where

$$V_j = \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{S}'; |\varphi(f_j)| < \varepsilon \}.$$

 $V_{j} - \{\psi \in \mathcal{O}, |\psi(J_{j})| \leq \varepsilon\}.$ Define $U_{j} := \{\psi \in \mathcal{S}'; |\psi(Tf_{j})| < \varepsilon\}$ and $U := \cap_{j}U_{j}.$ If $\psi \in U$ then $|T'(\psi(f_{j}))| = |\psi(Tf_{j})| < \varepsilon$ for each j so $T'(\psi) \in V.$

 $\mathbf{2}$

Theorem 3. The Fourier transform is a continuous homeomorphism from S onto S and therefore also between S' and S'.

Proof. We assume basic familiarity with the Fourier transform on S which imply that it is bijective. To show continuity, we notice that

$$\xi^{\alpha}\partial_{\xi}^{\beta}\hat{f} = (-i)^{|\alpha|}\mathcal{F}(\partial_{x}^{\alpha}((-ix)^{\beta}f)).$$

Moreover,

$$|\xi^{\alpha}\partial_{\xi}^{\beta}\hat{f}| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \langle x \rangle^{-d-1} \, dx \sup_{x} \langle x \rangle^{d+1} |\partial_{x}^{\alpha}(x^{\beta}f)(x)|$$

This shows that $\|\hat{f}\|_{k,k} \leq C \|f\|_{k,k+d+1}$.

Every $f \in L^p$ can be naturally associated with a tempered distribution by defining

$$\varphi_f(g) = \int fg$$

We thus have that for $\delta_{\xi}(f) = f(\xi)$ that

$$\hat{\delta_{\xi}}(f) = \delta_{\xi}(\hat{f}) = \hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx$$

which justifies $\hat{\delta}_{\xi} = e^{-ix\xi}$.

1.2. Convolution. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ and we may ask if f is equal to $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(n) e^{inx}$, that is whether

$$S_N f(x) = \sum_{|n| \le N} \hat{f}(n) e^{inx}$$

converges to f. We compute

$$S_N f(x) = \sum_{|n| \le N} e^{inx} (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} f(y) e^{-iny} dy$$
$$= \sum_{|n| \le N} (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} f(y) e^{-in(x-y)} dy$$
$$= (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} f(y) D_N(x-y) dy,$$

where $D_N(x) = \sum_{|n| \le N} e^{inx} = \frac{\sin((N+\frac{1}{2})x)}{\sin(x/2)}$ is the Dirichlet kernel. The convergence of the Fourier series is therefore equivalent to the as-

The convergence of the Fourier series is therefore equivalent to the asymptotic properties of a certain integral operator!

Using a suitable partitioning that we leave as an exercise, one readily verifies that even though $\int D_N = 2\pi$, the L^1 norm diverges as $N \to \infty$.

We might get our hopes up that the Dirichlet kernel is just an approximate identity which would imply converges of the Fourier series in every L^p space with $p < \infty$. However,

Exercise 3. There is c > 0 such that

$$|D_N||_{L^1} \ge c \log(N)$$
 for all N.

On \mathbb{R}^d we define the convolution by

$$(f*g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x-y)g(y) \, dy$$

In addition one has Young's inequality which states that for 1 + 1/r = 1/p + 1/q

$$||f * g||_r \le ||f||_p ||g||_q.$$

Basic question in Harmonic Analysis: What is the sharpest constant in this inequality? What are the optimizers?¹

Analogously, one defines a convolution on the torus by setting

$$(f * g)(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(x - y)g(y) \, dy.$$

If we want to convolve with a (finite) measure μ we replace g(y) dy by $d\mu(y)$. The convolution of two measures is the measure

$$(\mu*\nu)(E):=(\mu\times\nu)\{(x,y);x+y\in E\}$$

such that

$$\int f d(\mu * \nu) = \int \int f(x+y) \ d\mu(x) \ d\nu(y).$$

One can even convolve two tempered distributions, under one constraint: indeed let first $\varphi \in S'$ and $f \in S$ then

$$\varphi * f(x) = \varphi(f(x - \bullet))$$

is a C^{∞} function, e.g. $\delta_0^{(n)} * f(x) = f^{(n)}(x)$. However, in general this is not a Schwartz function, we therefore have to assume that ψ is compactly supported² and define

$$(\varphi * \psi)(f) := \psi(\varphi * f(-x))$$

One application of convolutions are approximate identities

Definition 1.4. A sequence $(\varphi_j)_j$ is called an approximate identity if

• $\int \varphi_j = 1$ • $\|\varphi_j\| \le C < \infty$ • $\int_{|x| > \delta} |\varphi_j(x)| \to 0 \text{ as } j \to \infty \text{ for all } \delta > 0.$

An examples are centered Gaussians whose variance tends to zero. In fact, any normalized positive $\phi \in L^1$ naturally induces an approximate identity by setting $\varphi_j(x) = j^d \phi(jx)$. Approximate identities have their name because of the following property

Theorem 4.

¹For Young's inequality this has been studied by Beckner and Brascamp-Lieb.

 $^2 \mathrm{This}$ means that $\psi(f)=0$ for all f that are supported away from a certain compact set

For any $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have $f * \varphi_j \to f$ uniformly. For any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have $f * \varphi_j \to f$ in L^p for $p < \infty$.

1.3. Uniform convergence of Fourier series. While we know that for $f \in C^0(\mathbb{T}^d)$ we get L^2 convergence of the Fourier series, we may ask whether for $f \in C^0(\mathbb{T}^d)$ we get uniform convergence. This is false by a very elegant observation argument using the uniform boundedness principle.

Theorem 5. There exists $f \in C^0(\mathbb{T})$ such that $(S_N f(0))$ diverges.

Proof. If false, then define $\ell_n f = S_n f(0) \in \mathbb{C}$ for every $f \in C^0(\mathbb{T})$. Also $\ell_n : \mathbb{C}^0 \to \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded linear functional, since

$$\ell_n f = (2\pi)^{-1} \int f(y) D_n(-y) \, dy$$

and $D_n \in L^1$. Banach-Steinhaus implies that if $\sup_n |\ell_n(f)| < C_f$ for every f, then $\sup_n ||\ell_n|| < \infty$. This is however false since $||\ell_n|| \to \infty$ since $||D_n||_{L^1} \to \infty$.

The situation improves by assuming slightly more regularity.

Theorem 6. For any $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and every $f \in \Lambda_{\alpha}$, $S_N f \to f$ uniformly as $N \to \infty$.

Moreover, there exists a constant $C_{\alpha} < \infty$ such that

$$||S_N f - f||_{\infty} \le C N^{-\alpha} \log(N) ||f||_{\Lambda_{\alpha}}$$

Proof. Writing

$$S_N(f)(x) - f(x) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int (f(x-y) - f(x))D_N(y) \, dy,$$

we can decompose this integral into

$$|S_N(f)(x) - f(x)| \le C \int_{|y| < \delta} |y|^{-1} |f(x-y) - f(x)| dy + |\int_{|y| > \delta} \sin((N+1/2)y) g(y) \, dy|$$

where $g(y) = (f(x - y) - f(x)) / \sin(y/2)$. Setting x = 0 for simplicity, the first term is majorized by choosing $\delta = \mathcal{O}(1/N)$ by

$$\int_{|y|\leq\delta} \|f\|_{\Lambda^{\alpha}} |y|^{\alpha-1} \, dy = \mathcal{O}(\|f\|_{\Lambda^{\alpha}} \delta^{\alpha}) = \mathcal{O}(\|f\|_{\Lambda^{\alpha}} N^{-\alpha}).$$

To estimate the second term, we observe that up to errors of order $\mathcal{O}(N^{-\alpha})$ it can be written using a substitution as

$$\int_{|y|>\delta} \sin((N+1/2)y)(g(y) - g(y - \pi/(N+1/2))) \, dy.$$

It remains to show that for $|y| \ge \delta$

$$|g(y) - g(y - \pi/(N + 1/2))| \le CN^{-\alpha}|y|^{-1}.$$

Let $y' = y - \pi/(N+1/2)$. Then, $(C_0 - \pi)N^{-1} \le |y'| \le \pi + \pi/N$. This implies that using

$$g(y) - g(y') = \frac{f(y) - f(0)}{\sin(y/2)} + \frac{f(0) - f(y')}{\sin(y'/2)} = \frac{f(y) - f(y')}{\sin(y/2)} + \left(\frac{f(y') - f(0)}{\sin(y/2) - \sin(y'/2)}\right)$$

and Hölder continuity in the second line

$$\begin{aligned} |g(y) - g(y')| &\leq |f(y) - f(y')| |\sin(y/2)|^{-1} + |f(y') - f(0)| \frac{|\sin(y/2) - \sin(y'/2)|}{|\sin(y/2) \sin(y'/2)|} \\ &\leq C \Big(|y - y'|^{\alpha} |y|^{-1} + |y'|^{\alpha} \frac{|y - y'|}{|yy'|} \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since $|y - y'| \leq |y - y'|^{\alpha} N^{\alpha - 1}$ the second term on the right is majorized by the first term.

1.4. L^p convergence of Fourier series. We are now asking: Let $f \in L^p$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$, do we have $||f - S_N(f)||_p \to 0$ for all f? The convergence fails for general $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$. It also fails for p = 1,since convergence in L^1 at least requires that $\sup_n ||S_n f|| < \infty$, but then by Banach-Steinhaus also $\sup_n ||S_n|| < \infty$. However, the operator norm of $||S_n|| = ||D_n|| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Indeed, recall that by using a positive approximate identity $||S_n\varphi_j - D_n||_{L^p} \to 0$. Thus, for p = 1 we have $||S_n|| \ge ||D_n||_{L^1}$, since $||\varphi_j||_{L^1} = 1$, and the converse inequality follows by Young.

To see this recall that

$$S_n f(x) = \int D_n(x-y)f(y) \, dy.$$

where the first inequality follows from Young's inequality and the limit since F_n , the so-called Fejér kernel

$$F_n = (n+1)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^n D_i = \frac{\sin((N+1)/2x)^2}{(n+1)\sin(x/2)^2},$$

is an approximate identity, since it is positive and integrates up to 1. In fact, the Fejér kernels form an approximate identity (show this!). In particular, this implies that

$$\sigma_N = (N+1)^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^N S_n f$$

satisfy

Proposition 1.2. For any $f \in C^0(\mathbb{T})$ we have $\sigma_N f \to f$ uniformly as $N \to \infty$. For any $p \in [1, \infty)$ and any $f \in L^p$ we have $\|\sigma_N f - f\| \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$.

Since the convergence is true for p = 2 (Parseval), we are left with studying $p \in (1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$.

1.5. L^p convergence of the Fourier series.

Definition 1.5. A Banach lattice of measurable functions is a Banach space X such that whenever $g \in X$, f is measurable and $|f| \le |g|$ a.e., then $f \in X$ and $||f||_X \le ||g||$.

Examples of Banach lattices are the L^p spaces, but Sobolev spaces are e.g. no Banach lattices in general.

Let \mathcal{P} denote the set of trigonometric polynomials and define

Definition 1.6. We define the operator P on \mathcal{P} whose Fourier transform is the projection

$$\widehat{Pf}(n) = \begin{cases} \widehat{f}(n) & n \ge 0\\ 0 & n < 0. \end{cases}$$

An operator T on $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ satisfying

$$\widehat{T}\widehat{f}(n) = a_n\widehat{f}(n)$$

with a_n a bounded sequence is called a Fourier multiplier operator and defines itself a bounded linear operator.

It is closely related to the so-called discrete Hilbert transform $\hat{Hf}(n) = -i \operatorname{Sgn}(n) \hat{f}(n)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2}(I+iH)f = Pf - \frac{1}{2}\hat{f}(0)$$

Thus, P extends to an L^p bounded operator if and only if H does. We then have

Proposition 1.3. Let $X \subset L^1(\mathbb{T})$ be a Banach lattice and suppose that \mathcal{P} is dense in X. If $P : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}$ extends to a bounded linear operator $P : X \to X$ then $||S_n f - f||_X \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for every $f \in X$.

One then shows that

Theorem 7. *P* and *H* extend to bounded linear operators on $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ for every $p \in (1, \infty)$.

Corollary 1.4. Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ then the Fourier series converges for $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$ also in $L^p(\mathbb{T})$.

2. HARDY-LITTLEWOOD MAXIMAL FUNCTION

We start by recalling basic properties. The distribution function λ_f is defined as

$$\lambda_f(\alpha) := \mu\{x \in X; |f(x)| > \alpha\}.$$

One then has for any measurable $f: X \to \mathbb{C}$ and any $p \in (0, \infty)$

$$\int_X |f|^p d\mu = p \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p-1} \lambda_f(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

In addition one has Chebyshev's inequality for any $p \in (0, \infty)$ and $f \in L^p$

$$\lambda_f(\alpha) \le \alpha^{-p} \|f\|_p^p.$$

Definition 2.1. For each $p \in [1, \infty)$ we define $L^{p,\infty}$ the weak L^p space of all measurable f for which there is a constant C > 0 such that

$$\lambda_f(\alpha) \le \alpha^{-p} C^p.$$

The infimum of all such constants is defined to be $||f||_{p,\infty}$. An example of a function that is in the weak space but not the full space is $|x|^{-d/p}$.

The above definition defines a quasi-norm in the sense that the triangle inequality holds for some $C_p < \infty$ such that

$$||f + g||_{p,\infty} \le C_p(||f||_{p,\infty} + ||g||_{p,\infty})$$

For $p \in (1, \infty)$ there actually exists a genuine norm on this space.

Definition 2.2. An operator T is said to be of weak type (p,q) if it maps L^p to $L^{q,\infty}$ and satisfies

$$\|Tf\|_{q,\infty}\| \le C \|f\|_p$$

Finally, we define a key object for our following studies

Definition 2.3. Let $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we define the Hardy-Littlewood Maximal Function

$$Mf(x) := \sup_{r>0} |B_r(x)|^{-1} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y)| dy.$$

We then have the following Theorem

Theorem 8. For each $p \in (1, \infty]$ there is $C(p, d) < \infty$ such that $||Mf||_p \le C||f||_p$. Moreover, for any $f \in L^1$ and $\alpha > 0$ we have

$$|\{x; Mf(x) > \alpha\}| \le C\alpha^{-1} ||f||_1.$$

However, M fails to map L^1 to L^1 . In fact, if $Mf \in L^1$ then $f \equiv 0$. To see this, we observe that if $\int_{B_r(0)} |f| > 0$, then for any x, we find $\int_{B_{|x|+2R}(x)} |f| \le \int_{B_r(0)} |f|$. We deduce that

$$Mf(x) \gtrsim \langle x \rangle^{-d}$$

which is not integrable.

In addition, we note that the bound $||Mf||_{\infty} \leq ||f||_{\infty}$ is obvious. In fact, we even have

$$\lim_{r \to 0} |B_r(x)|^{-1} \int_{B_r(x)} f(y) \, dy = f(x)$$

by Lebesgue's differentiation theorem, which implies $Mf(x) \ge |f(x)|$. Our approach to show Theorem ?? will be to show the $L^1 \to L^{1,\infty}$ bound and then to use interpolation.

We have the Vitali covering Lemma

Lemma 2.1. For each $d \ge 1$, there is $C_d < \infty$ such that for any measurable $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of finite measure and any collection of balls \mathcal{B} such that

$$E \subset \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B,$$

there is a collection \mathcal{B}' of disjoint elements of \mathcal{B} such that

$$|E| \le C_d \sum_{B' \in \mathcal{B}'} |B'|.$$

The $L^1 \to L^{1,\infty}$ bound can then be deduced as follows.

Let $f \in L^1$ and $\alpha > 0$ be given. Define $E_{\alpha} := \{x; Mf(x) > \alpha\}$. Define \mathcal{B} to be the balls B satisfying

$$|B|^{-1} \int_B |f| > \alpha.$$

The union of all those contains E_{α} . Then using the Vitali covering Lemma, we conclude

$$|E_{\alpha}| \le C_d \sum_{B' \in \mathcal{B}'} |B'| \le C_d \sum_{B' \in \mathcal{B}'} \alpha^{-1} \int_{B'} |f| \le C_d \alpha^{-1} ||f||_1.$$

We shall now turn to the proof of the covering Lemma

Proof. Choose $K \subset E$ compact with $|K| \geq |E|/2$. Choose a finite subcovert $\mathcal{B}'' \subset \mathcal{B}$ that covers K. Write $\mathcal{B}'' = \{B_1, B_2, ...\}$ ordering the balls so that $|B_j| \geq |B_{j+1}|$. We then define \mathcal{B}' as follows: Select B_1 . If B_N is disjoint from all previously selected one, we select it, otherwise we discard it. This way \mathcal{B}' has only pairwise disjoint elements. We find that for any $B_m \in \mathcal{B}'' \setminus \mathcal{B}'$ there is $B' \in \mathcal{B}'$ such that $B_m \subset (B')^*$ where $(B')^*$ denotes the ball concentric with B' having three times as large a radius. Finally,

$$|K| \le |\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}''} B| \le |\bigcup_{B' \in \mathcal{B}'} (B')^*| = 3^d \sum_{B' \in \mathcal{B}'} |B'|.$$

The interpolation result we need is the Marcinkiewicz Interpolation Theorem

Definition 2.4. An operator T is said to be sublinear if it satisfies $|T(f + g)| \le |Tf| + |Tg|$

Let $p_{\theta}^{-1} = (1-\theta)p_0^{-1} + \theta p_1^{-1}$ and $q_{\theta}^{-1} = (1-\theta)q_0^{-1} + \theta q_1^{-1}$. The Marcinkiewiz interpolation theorem then states

Theorem 9. Having, $||Tf||_{q_j,\infty} \leq C||f||_{p_j}$, we conclude that

$$||Tf||_{q_{\theta}} \leq C ||f||_{p_{\theta}}.$$

The main advantage of the Marcinkiewicz theorem is that, unlike the Riesz-Thorin theorem, it only requires weak estimates at the end-points.

Proof. To keep it simple, we will just the proof the case that we need: Let $p_0 = q_0 = 1$ and $p_1 = q_1 = \infty$. Suppose that

$$|Tf||_{\infty} \le C_1 ||f||_{\infty}, ||Tf||_{1,\infty} \le C_0 ||f||_1$$

as well as $|T(f+g)| \le C_2(|Tf| + |Tg|)$.

Given $\alpha > 0$ we split f = g + h where h(x) = 0 if $|f(x)| \le \alpha/(2C_1C_2)$ and h(x) = f(x) otherwise. Then $||g||_{\infty} \le \alpha/(2C_1C_2)$ so $||Tg||_{\infty} \le \alpha/(2C_2)$. This implies that

$$C_2|Th| + \alpha/2 \ge C_2|Th| + C_2||Tg||_{\infty} \ge C_2(|Th| + |Tg|) \ge |Tf|$$

Hence, if $|Tf| \ge \alpha$, then $|Th| \ge \alpha/(2C_2)$.

This implies by the monotonicity of measures, since $\lambda_f(\alpha) := \mu\{x \in X; |f(x)| > \alpha\}$ we find that

$$\lambda_{Tf}(\alpha) \le \lambda_{Th}(\alpha/(2C_2))$$

This implies, using that $||h||_p = p \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p-1} \lambda_h(\alpha) \ d\alpha$ and the definition of h

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{Tf}(\alpha) &\leq \lambda_{Th}(\alpha/(2C_2)) \leq 2C_2 \alpha^{-1} \|Th\|_{1,\infty} \\ &\leq 2C_0 C_2 \alpha^{-1} \|h\|_1 \\ &= C \alpha^{-1} \int_0^\infty \lambda_h(\beta) d\beta \\ &= C \alpha^{-1} \int_0^\infty \min(\lambda_f(\beta), \lambda_f(\alpha/(2C_1C_2))) \ d\beta \\ &\leq .C \alpha^{-1} \int_{\alpha/(2C_1C_2)} \lambda_f(\beta) \ d\beta + C \lambda_f(\alpha/(2C_1C_2)). \end{split}$$

Thus, for any $p \in (1, \infty)$

$$\begin{split} \|Tf\|_{p}^{p} &= p \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha^{p-1} \lambda_{Tf}(\alpha) \ d\alpha \\ &\leq C \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha^{p-1} \left(\alpha^{-1} \int_{\alpha/(2C_{1}C_{2})}^{\infty} \lambda_{f}(\beta) \ d\beta + C \lambda_{f}(\alpha/(2C_{1}C_{2})) \right) \ d\alpha \\ &= C \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda_{f}(\beta) \int_{0}^{2C_{1}C_{2}\beta} \alpha^{p-2} \ d\alpha \ d\beta + C \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha^{p-1} \lambda_{f}(\alpha/(2C_{1}C_{2})) \ d\alpha \\ &\leq C \int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma^{p-1} \lambda_{f}(\gamma) \ d\gamma. \end{split}$$

3. Singular Integral Operators

Definition 3.1. A Calderon Zygmund kernel is a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Delta$, where $\Delta = \{(x, y); x = y\}$ is the diagonal such that

$$|K(x,y)| \le C|x-y|^{-d}$$

and there is $\delta \in (0,1]$ such that whenever $|y-y'| \leq 1/2|x-y|$ then

$$|K(x,y) - K(x,y')| + |K(y,x) - K(y',x)| \le C|y - y'|^{\delta}|x - y|^{-d-\delta}.$$

Definition 3.2. A continuous linear operator $T : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}'$ is associated to a kernel $K \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Delta)$ if for every pair $f, g \in \mathcal{D}$ of disjoint support, we have

$$\langle Tf,g\rangle = \int \int K(x,y)f(x)g(y) \, dy \, dx.$$

An operator has at most one kernel but a kernel does not uniquely define an operator, e.g. K = 0 corresponds to both the identity and the first derivative operator.

One then has

Theorem 10 (Calderon Zygmund). Suppose that for some $q \in (1, \infty)$ T is a bounded linear operator on $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and T is assocaited with a CZ kernel. Then T extends to a bounded linear operator for all $q \in (1,\infty)$ and is of weak (1,1) type, i.e.

$$||Tf||_{1,\infty} \leq C ||f||_1$$

The essential step in the proof is the following result

Proposition 3.1. Under the assumptions of Theorem ??, the operator T is of weak (1,1) type.

We can now give the proof of Theorem ??

Proof. Using Marcinkiewicz interpolation we can conclude that T is bounded for every $p \in (1,q)$. If $q = \infty$ then we are good. If not, then we study the transpose operator $T' \in L(L^{q'})$ defined by $\int fT'g = \int Tfg$ with kernel K'(x,y) = K(y,x). Applying the Proposition, T' is bounded for every $r \in$ (1,q'). This however implies that T is bounded on all L^p with $p \in (1,\infty)$. \Box

To prove our Proposition, we need another tool that is commonly referred to as the Calderon-Zygmund decomposition

Proposition 3.2. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\alpha > 0$. Then f can be written as g+b with $\|g\|_{\infty} \leq \alpha$ and $b = \sum_{j} b_{j}$ with each b_{j} supported on a dyadic cube Q_i ³ and

- Q_i ∩ Q_j = Ø for i ≠ j.
 ∫ b_j = 0

- $||b_j||_1 \le 2^d \alpha |Q_j|$ $\sum_j |Q_j| \le \alpha^{-1} ||f||_1.$ $||b||_1 + ||g||_1 \le C ||f||_1.$

We can now state the proof of Prop. ??.

³A cube of sidelength 2^k for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with vertices in $\mathbb{Z}2^k$

Proof. Let $|Tf(x)| > \alpha$ then using the same notation as in the CZ decomposition

$$|\{x; |Tf(x)| > \alpha\}| \le |\{x; |Tg(x)| > \alpha/2\}| + |\{x; |Tb(x)| > \alpha/2\}|.$$

We also have that by the CZ decomposition again.

$$||g||_q^q \le ||g||_{\infty}^{q-1} ||g||_1 \le C\alpha^{q-1} ||f||_1.$$

Thus, by Chebyshev

$$|\{x; |Tg(x)| > \alpha/2\}| \le 2^q \alpha^{-q} ||Tf||_q^q \le C \alpha^{-q} ||g||_q^q \le C \alpha^{-1} ||f||_1.$$

This is the weak (1,1) boundedness for g, now we also need this for q. Let Q_j^* denote the ball concentric with Q_j whose radius is twice the diameter of Q_j .

We define the exceptional set

$$E = \bigcup_{j} Q_j^*,$$

then using the CZ decomposition

$$|E| \le C \sum_{j} |Q_j| \le C \alpha^{-1} ||f||_1.$$

This implies that

$$|\{x; |Tb(x)| > \alpha/2\}| \le |E| + |\{x \notin E; |Tb(x)| > \alpha/2\}| \le |E| + 2\alpha^{-1} ||Tb||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus E)}.$$

The term |E| has already been estimated two lines above. We now focus on $\|Tb\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d\setminus E)}$ and use that

$$||Tb||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus E)} \le \sum_j ||Tb_j||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus E)} \le \sum_j ||Tb_j||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus Q_j^*)}.$$

We now need an additional Lemma that shows that

$$||Tb_j|| \le C ||b_j||.$$

This then allows us to show that

$$\sum_{j} \|Tb_{j}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d} \setminus Q_{j}^{*})} \leq C \sum_{j} \|b_{j}\|_{L^{1}} = C \|b\|_{1} \leq C \|f\|_{1}.$$

We now show (??). Let y_0 denote the center of Q_j , then since $\int b_j = 0$ we have for $x \notin Q_j^*$

$$Tb_j(x) = \int (K(x,y) - K(x,y_0))b_j(y) \, dy.$$

We conclude

$$\begin{split} \int_{x \notin Q_j^*} |Tb_j(x)| \ dx &= \int_{x \notin Q_j^*} |\int_{y \in Q_j} (K(x, y) - K(x, y_0))b_j(y) \ dy| dx \\ &= \int_{y \in Q_j} \int_{x \notin Q_j^*} |K(x, y) - K(x, y_0)| \ dx|b_j(y)| \ dy \\ &\leq \|b_j\|_1 \sup_{y \in Q_j} \|K(\bullet, y) - K(\bullet, y_0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus Q_j^*)}. \end{split}$$

On the other hand, for ℓ the side-length of the cube Q_j

$$|Tb_j(x)| \le C|x - y_0|^{-d-\delta} \int_{Q_j} |y - y_0|^{\delta} |b_j(y)| \, dy \le C|x - y_0|^{-d-\delta} \ell^d ||b_j||_1.$$

Using that for $y \in Q_j$ and $x \in Q_j^*$ we have $|x - y_0| \ge 2|y - y_0|$ we have by the properties of the CZ kernel

$$|K(x,y) - K(x,y_0)| \le C|y - y_0|^{\delta}|x - y_0|^{-d-\delta}.$$

Integrating then, we find

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus Q_j^*} |x - y_0|^{-d-\delta} \, dx \le \int_{|x - y_0| \ge 2\ell} |x - y_0|^{-d-\delta} \, dx = c\ell^{-\delta}$$

Thus, one finds

$$||Tb_j||_1 \le C ||b_j||_1.$$

4. Homogeneous distributions

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and r > 0 then

$$\delta_r f(x) := f(rx).$$

This notation is extended to distributions by setting for $\phi \in S'$

$$(\delta_r \phi)(f) := r^{-d} \phi(\delta_{1/r} f)$$

Checking for $\phi(f) = \int gf$ we have by the change of variables that $(\delta_r \phi)(f) = \int (\delta_r g) f$.

A distribution ϕ is called homogeneous of degree γ if $\delta_r \phi = r^{\gamma} \phi$ for all r > 0.

The Dirac distribution is homogeneous of degree -d in \mathbb{R}^d . The principal value on \mathbb{R} defined by

$$\phi(f) := \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{|x| > \varepsilon} f(x) x^{-1} dx$$

is homogeneous of degree -1.

It is easy to see that for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ if $\phi \in S'$ is homogeneous of degree γ then $\hat{\phi}$ is homogeneous of degree $-d-\gamma$. If ϕ is homogeneous of degree γ , then $\partial^{\alpha}\phi$ is homogeneous of degree $\gamma - |\alpha|$. However, $\log |x|$ is not homogeneous on \mathbb{R}^2 , but $\Delta \log |x| = c\delta_0$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and therefore homogeneous.

We start with the following result

Exercise 4. If $\phi \in S'$ is homogeneous and belongs to $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$, then $\hat{\phi} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ as well.

The proof of this can be obtained from an approximation scheme that rests on the following Lemma

Lemma 4.1. The Fourier transform of any compactly supported distribution belongs to C^{∞} .

Proof. Let ϕ be a compactly supported distribution. We choose a function $\eta \in C_c^{\infty}$ that is equal to one on the support of ϕ . Thus, $\phi \eta = \phi$. By continuity there is M and C such that

$$|\phi(f)| = |\phi(\eta f)| \le C \|\eta f\|_{C^M}.$$

This implies that

$$\hat{\phi}(f) = \phi(\hat{f}) = \phi(\eta \hat{f}).$$

Writing $e^{-ix\xi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-ix\xi)^n}{n!}$ we find

$$\hat{\phi}(f) = \sum_{n} \frac{1}{n!} \int \phi(\eta(-ix\xi)^n) f(\xi) d\xi = \langle \psi, f \rangle$$

with $\psi(\xi) = \sum_{n} \phi(\eta(-ix)^n) \frac{\xi^n}{n!}$ an entire function.

Distributions supported in a single point are particularly easy

Exercise 5. Let $\phi \in S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ supported in $\{0\}$, then for some *m* and coefficients a_{α}

$$\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{m} a_{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha} \delta_0.$$

Hint: Use continuity to show that $\phi(f) = 0$ if $\partial^{\alpha} f(0) = 0$ for all $0 \le |\alpha| \le m$.

We find then

Theorem 11. The Fourier transform defines a continuous bijection between all distributions that are homogeneous of degree 0 and smooth away from the origin and distributions ϕ of the form

$$\mathbb{C}\delta_0 + pv(K) \text{ with } K \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}), \int_{\mathbf{S}^{d-1}} K = 0.$$

where $pv(K)(f) := \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{|x| > \varepsilon} k(x) f(x) \ dx.$

Proof. We use that we can write our distribution as $\phi = c + m$ where c is a constant and m satisfies $\int_{\mathbf{S}^{d-1}} m = 0$. The Fourier transform of m is a distribution k which is a function h that is homogeneous of degree -d and smooth on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$.

Let f be radial, then $k(f) = \hat{m}(f) = m(\hat{f}) = \int m\hat{f} = 0$. This implies that h is radial.

We can now define H = pv(h) which is homogeneous of degree -d. Thus, k - H is homogeneous of degree -d and supported in $\{0\}$. Hence, it is a multiple of δ_0 .

This implies that

Corollary 4.2. If $\phi \in S'$ is homogeneous of degree -d and belongs to $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$, then the operator $Tf = f * \phi$ is a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. Its fourier transform $\hat{\phi}$ is homogeneous of degree 0 and belongs to $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$. Thus, $\hat{\phi} \in L^{\infty}$. Then, since $(\phi * f) = \hat{\phi}\hat{f} \in L^2$, the claim follows.

Thus, we have shown

Theorem 12. Let $k \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ be homogeneous of degree -d and $\int_{\mathbf{S}^{d-1}} k = 0$ then for all $p \in (1, \infty)$

$$\|pv(k) * f\|_p \le C \|f\|_p$$

and the weak L^1 bound holds as well.

Theorem 13. Let $m \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ be homogeneous of degree zero. Then the operator $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\hat{f}m)$ is a Calderon-Zygmund operator

Proof. We have $Tf = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\hat{f}m)$ equals $f * \mathcal{F}^{-1}m$ where $\mathcal{F}^{-1}m$ is homogeneous of degree -d and belongs to $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$. Therefore $\mathcal{F}^{-1}m(x-y)$ defines a standard kernel.