### 1. Basic facts about Fourier transform

We denote the torus by  $\mathbb{T}^d := \mathbb{R}^d/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})^d$  with Lebesgue measure. For  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$  we define the Fourier transform for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ 

$$
\hat{f}(n) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(x) e^{-ixn} dx.
$$

The inverse Fourier transform is defined for  $f_n \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  by

$$
\check{f}(x) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f_n e^{ixn}.
$$

**Theorem 1** (Parseval theorem). Let  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ , then  $\hat{f} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  and  $\|\hat{f}\|^2_{\ell^2} = (2\pi)^{-d} \|f\|^2_{L^2}.$ 

Moreover, the Fourier transform is bijective.

Exercise 1. Give a proof of this result using the Stone-Weierstrass theorem.

Basic question in Harmonic Analysis: Can we get rates on the convergence?

We claim that for any Lipschitz function  $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}$  we have

$$
|\hat{f}(n)| \le |n|^{-1} ||f||_{\text{Lip}},
$$

where  $||f||_{\text{Lip}} := \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|}$  $\frac{x)-f(y)}{|x-y|}$ . This follows from writing

$$
2\pi \hat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{-inx} f(x) + e^{-in(x + \pi/n)} f(x + \pi/n) dx
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} (f(x) - f(x + \pi/n)) e^{-inx} dx.
$$

**Exercise 2.** Generalize the above argument to arbitrary dimensions!

More generally, let  $f$  be a Hölder function, i.e.

$$
||f||_{\Lambda_{\alpha}} := \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^{\alpha}},
$$

then  $\hat{f}(n) = \mathcal{O}(1/|n|^{\alpha}).$ 

We define the continuous Fourier transform as

$$
\hat{f}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-ix\xi} d\xi
$$

and also

$$
\check{f}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{ix\xi} \, d\xi.
$$

**Theorem 2** (Plancherel theorem). For any  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\|\hat{f}\|_2 = (2\pi)^{d/2} \|f\|_2$ . Moreover,  $f = (2\pi)^{-d} \tilde{f}$ . Finally,  $f \mapsto (2\pi)^{-d/2} \hat{f}$  is an isometry of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ onto  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

1.1. Schwartz functions and the space of tempered distributions. We start by giving the definition of the Schwartz space

**Definition 1.1.** S is the space of all  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for which each of the following quantities

$$
||f||_{m,n} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \langle x \rangle^n \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} |\partial^{\alpha} f(x)|
$$

is finite.

It is complete metrizable space  $d(f,g) = \sum_{m,n} 2^{-|(m,n)|} \frac{\|f-g\|_{m,n}}{1+\|f-g\|_{m,n}}$  $\frac{\|J-g\|_{m,n}}{1+\|f-g\|_{m,n}}$  whose locally convex topology is defined by finite intersections of open sets

$$
V_{f,m,n,\varepsilon} := \{ g \in \mathcal{S}; \|f - g\|_{m,n} \le \varepsilon \}.
$$

Thus, if  $f_n \to g$  in S then this is equivalent to having  $||f_n - g||_{m,n} \to 0$  for all  $m, n$ .

**Definition 1.2.** The set  $\mathcal{S}'$  is the space of all continuous linear functionals from S to **C**.

We can find a topology such that  $\varphi_j \to \varphi$  in S' is equivalent to  $\varphi_j(f) \to$  $\varphi(f)$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ .

**Definition 1.3.**  $\mathcal{S}'$  is a topological vector space for which a neighbourhood base of  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'$  is the collection of all finite intersections of sets

$$
V_{\varphi,f,\varepsilon} := \{ \psi \in \mathcal{S}' : |(\varphi - \psi)(f)| \le \varepsilon \}.
$$

**Remark 1.1.** A locally finite Borel measure  $\mu$  is called tempered if there exist  $C, N > 0$  such that

$$
\mu\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d; |x| \le R\} \le CR^N \text{ as } R \to \infty.
$$

The set of tempered  $C^{\infty}$  functions f with measure  $d\mu = |f(x)|dx$  turns out to be dense in  $\mathcal{S}'$ . Hence, the space  $\mathcal{S}'$  got its name: The space of tempered distributions.

**Lemma 1.1.** To any continuous linear transformation  $T : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}$ , there is an associated continuous linear transformation  $T': S' \to S'$  defined by

$$
(T'\varphi)(f) = \varphi(Tf).
$$

*Proof.* By linearity, it suffices to show continuity at 0. Let V be a neighbourhood of 0 in S'. We must show there is a neighbourhood U of  $0 \in S'$ such that  $T'(U) \subset V$ . There is  $\varepsilon > 0$  and finitely many  $f_j \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $V \supset \bigcap_{j=1}^n V_j$  where

$$
V_j = \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{S}'; |\varphi(f_j)| < \varepsilon \}.
$$

Define  $U_j := \{ \psi \in \mathcal{S}'; |\psi(Tf_j)| < \varepsilon \}$  and  $U := \cap_j U_j$ . If  $\psi \in U$  then  $|T'(\psi(f_j))| = |\psi(Tf_j)| < \varepsilon$  for each j so  $T'(\psi) \in V$ .

Theorem 3. The Fourier transform is a continuous homeomorphism from S onto S and therefore also between  $S'$  and  $S'$ .

*Proof.* We assume basic familiarity with the Fourier transform on  $S$  which imply that it is bijective. To show continuity, we notice that

$$
\xi^{\alpha}\partial_{\xi}^{\beta}\hat{f} = (-i)^{|\alpha|}\mathcal{F}(\partial_{x}^{\alpha}((-ix)^{\beta}f).
$$

Moreover,

$$
|\xi^{\alpha}\partial_{\xi}^{\beta}\hat{f}| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \langle x \rangle^{-d-1} dx \sup_{x} \langle x \rangle^{d+1} |\partial_{x}^{\alpha}(x^{\beta}f)(x)|
$$

This shows that  $||\hat{f}||_{k,k} \leq C ||f||_{k,k+d+1}$ .

Every  $f \in L^p$  can be naturally associated with a tempered distribution by defining

$$
\varphi_f(g) = \int fg
$$

We thus have that for  $\delta_{\xi}(f) = f(\xi)$  that

$$
\hat{\delta}_{\xi}(f) = \delta_{\xi}(\hat{f}) = \hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)e^{-ix\xi} dx
$$

which justifies  $\hat{\delta}_{\xi} = e^{-ix\xi}$ .

1.2. **Convolution.** Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$  and we may ask if f is equal to  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(n)e^{inx}$ , that is whether

$$
S_N f(x) = \sum_{|n| \le N} \hat{f}(n) e^{inx}
$$

converges to f. We compute

$$
S_N f(x) = \sum_{|n| \le N} e^{inx} (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} f(y) e^{-iny} dy
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{|n| \le N} (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} f(y) e^{-in(x-y)} dy
$$
  
= 
$$
(2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} f(y) D_N(x - y) dy,
$$

where  $D_N(x) = \sum_{|n| \le N} e^{inx} = \frac{\sin((N + \frac{1}{2})x)}{\sin(x/2)}$  is the *Dirichlet kernel*.

The convergence of the Fourier series is therefore equivalent to the asymptotic properties of a certain integral operator!

Using a suitable partitioning that we leave as an exercise, one readily verifies that even though  $\int D_N = 2\pi$ , the  $L^1$  norm diverges as  $N \to \infty$ .

We might get our hopes up that the Dirichlet kernel is just an approximate identity which would imply converges of the Fourier series in every  $L^p$  space with  $p < \infty$ . However,

**Exercise 3.** There is  $c > 0$  such that

$$
||D_N||_{L^1} \ge c \log(N) \text{ for all } N.
$$

On  $\mathbb{R}^d$  we define the convolution by

$$
(f * g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x - y)g(y) \ dy.
$$

In addition one has Young's inequality which states that for  $1 + 1/r =$  $1/p + 1/q$ 

$$
||f * g||_r \le ||f||_p ||g||_q.
$$

Basic question in Harmonic Analysis: What is the sharpest constant in this inequality? What are the optimizers?<sup>[1](#page-3-0)</sup>

Analogously, one defines a convolution on the torus by setting

$$
(f * g)(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(x - y)g(y) \, dy.
$$

If we want to convolve with a (finite) measure  $\mu$  we replace  $g(y)$  dy by  $d\mu(y)$ . The convolution of two measures is the measure

$$
(\mu * \nu)(E) := (\mu \times \nu)\{(x, y); x + y \in E\}
$$

such that

$$
\int f d(\mu * \nu) = \int \int f(x + y) d\mu(x) d\nu(y).
$$

One can even convolve two tempered distributions, under one constraint: indeed let first  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'$  and  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  then

$$
\varphi * f(x) = \varphi(f(x - \bullet))
$$

is a  $C^{\infty}$  function, e.g.  $\delta_0^{(n)}$  $f(x) = f^{(n)}(x)$ . However, in general this is not a Schwartz function, we therefore have to assume that  $\psi$  is compactly supported<sup>[2](#page-3-1)</sup> and define

$$
(\varphi * \psi)(f) := \psi(\varphi * f(-x)).
$$

One application of convolutions are approximate identities

**Definition 1.4.** A sequence  $(\varphi_i)_i$  is called an approximate identity if

 $\bullet$   $\int \varphi_j = 1$ •  $\|\varphi_j\| \leq C < \infty$ •  $\int_{|x| > \delta} |\varphi_j(x)| \to 0 \text{ as } j \to \infty \text{ for all } \delta > 0.$ 

An examples are centered Gaussians whose variance tends to zero. In fact, any normalized positive  $\phi \in L^1$  naturally induces an approximate identity by setting  $\varphi_j(x) = j^d \phi(jx)$ . Approximate identities have their name because of the following property

# Theorem 4.

<span id="page-3-1"></span><span id="page-3-0"></span><sup>1</sup>For Young's inequality this has been studied by Beckner and Brascamp-Lieb.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This means that  $\psi(f) = 0$  for all f that are supported away from a certain compact set

For any  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$  we have  $f * \varphi_j \to f$  uniformly. For any  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  we have  $f * \varphi_j \to f$  in  $L^p$  for  $p < \infty$ .

1.3. Uniform convergence of Fourier series. While we know that for  $f \in C^0(\mathbb{T}^d)$  we get  $L^2$  convergence of the Fourier series, we may ask whether for  $f \in C^{0}(\mathbb{T}^{d})$  we get uniform convergence. This is false by a very elegant observation argument using the uniform boundedness principle.

**Theorem 5.** There exists  $f \in C^0(\mathbb{T})$  such that  $(S_N f(0))$  diverges.

*Proof.* If false, then define  $\ell_n f = S_n f(0) \in \mathbb{C}$  for every  $f \in C^0(\mathbb{T})$ . Also  $\ell_n : \mathbb{C}^0 \to \mathbb{C}$  is a bounded linear functional, since

$$
\ell_n f = (2\pi)^{-1} \int f(y) D_n(-y) \ dy
$$

and  $D_n \in L^1$ . Banach-Steinhaus implies that if  $\sup_n |\ell_n(f)| < C_f$  for every f, then  $\sup_n ||\ell_n|| < \infty$ . This is however false since  $||\ell_n|| \to \infty$  since  $||D_n||_{L^1} \to \infty.$ 

The situation improves by assuming slightly more regularity.

**Theorem 6.** For any  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  and every  $f \in \Lambda_{\alpha}$ ,  $S_N f \to f$  uniformly as  $N \to \infty$ .

Moreover, there exists a constant  $C_{\alpha} < \infty$  such that

$$
||S_N f - f||_{\infty} \le C N^{-\alpha} \log(N) ||f||_{\Lambda_{\alpha}}.
$$

Proof. Writing

$$
S_N(f)(x) - f(x) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int (f(x - y) - f(x))D_N(y) \, dy,
$$

we can decompose this integral into

$$
|S_N(f)(x) - f(x)| \le C \int_{|y| < \delta} |y|^{-1} |f(x-y) - f(x)| dy + |\int_{|y| > \delta} \sin((N+1/2)y) g(y) dy|,
$$

where  $g(y) = (f(x - y) - f(x))/\sin(y/2)$ . Setting  $x = 0$  for simplicity, the first term is majorized by choosing  $\delta = \mathcal{O}(1/N)$  by

$$
\int_{|y| \leq \delta} ||f||_{\Lambda^{\alpha}} |y|^{\alpha-1} dy = \mathcal{O}(|f||_{\Lambda^{\alpha}} \delta^{\alpha}) = \mathcal{O}(|f||_{\Lambda^{\alpha}} N^{-\alpha}).
$$

To estimate the second term, we observe that up to errors of order  $\mathcal{O}(N^{-\alpha})$  it can be written using a substitution as

$$
\int_{|y|>\delta} \sin((N+1/2)y)(g(y) - g(y - \pi/(N+1/2))) \ dy.
$$

It remains to show that for  $|y| \ge \delta$ 

$$
|g(y) - g(y - \pi/(N + 1/2))| \leq C N^{-\alpha} |y|^{-1}.
$$

Let  $y' = y - \pi/(N + 1/2)$ . Then,  $(C_0 - \pi)N^{-1} \le |y'| \le \pi + \pi/N$ . This implies that using

$$
g(y) - g(y') = \frac{f(y) - f(0)}{\sin(y/2)} + \frac{f(0) - f(y')}{\sin(y/2)} = \frac{f(y) - f(y')}{\sin(y/2)} + \left(\frac{f(y') - f(0)}{\sin(y/2) - \sin(y'/2)}\right)
$$

and Hölder continuity in the second line

$$
|g(y) - g(y')| \le |f(y) - f(y')||\sin(y/2)|^{-1} + |f(y') - f(0)| \frac{|\sin(y/2) - \sin(y'/2)|}{|\sin(y/2)\sin(y'/2)|}
$$
  

$$
\le C\Big(|y - y'|^{\alpha}|y|^{-1} + |y'|^{\alpha} \frac{|y - y'|}{|yy'|}\Big).
$$

Finally, since  $|y - y'| \lesssim |y - y'|^{\alpha} N^{\alpha-1}$  the second term on the right is majorized by the first term.

$$
\Box
$$

1.4.  $L^p$  convergence of Fourier series. We are now asking: Let  $f \in L^p$ and  $p \in [1,\infty]$ , do we have  $||f - S_N(f)||_p \to 0$  for all f? The convergence fails for general  $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$ . It also fails for  $p = 1$ , since convergence in  $L^1$ at least requires that  $\sup_n ||S_n f|| < \infty$ , but then by Banach-Steinhaus also  $\sup_n ||S_n|| < \infty$ . However, the operator norm of  $||S_n|| = ||D_n|| \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Indeed, recall that by using a positive approximate identity  $||S_n \varphi_j D_n||_{L^p} \to 0$ . Thus, for  $p = 1$  we have  $||S_n|| \ge ||D_n||_{L^1}$ , since  $||\varphi_j||_{L^1} = 1$ , and the converse inequality follows by Young.

To see this recall that

$$
S_n f(x) = \int D_n(x - y) f(y) \ dy.
$$

where the first inequality follows from Young's inequality and the limit since  $F_n$ , the so-called Fejér kernel

$$
F_n = (n+1)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n} D_i = \frac{\sin((N+1)/2x)^2}{(n+1)\sin(x/2)^2},
$$

is an approximate identity, since it is positive and integrates up to 1. In fact, the Fejér kernels form an approximate identity (show this!). In particular, this implies that

$$
\sigma_N = (N+1)^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N} S_n f
$$

satisfy

**Proposition 1.2.** For any  $f \in C^0(\mathbb{T})$  we have  $\sigma_N f \to f$  uniformly as  $N \to \infty$ . For any  $p \in [1,\infty)$  and any  $f \in L^p$  we have  $\|\sigma_N f - f\| \to 0$  as  $N \to \infty$ .

Since the convergence is true for  $p = 2$  (Parseval), we are left with studying  $p \in (1,\infty) \setminus \{2\}.$ 

# 1.5.  $L^p$  convergence of the Fourier series.

Definition 1.5. A Banach lattice of measurable functions is a Banach space X such that whenever  $g \in X$ , f is measurable and  $|f| \leq |g|$  a.e., then  $f \in X$ and  $||f||_X \leq ||g||$ .

Examples of Banach lattices are the  $L^p$  spaces, but Sobolev spaces are e.g. no Banach lattices in general.

Let  $P$  denote the set of trigonometric polynomials and define

**Definition 1.6.** We define the operator P on  $\mathcal P$  whose Fourier transform is the projection

$$
\widehat{Pf}(n) = \begin{cases} \hat{f}(n) & n \ge 0 \\ 0 & n < 0. \end{cases}
$$

An operator T on  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$  satisfying

$$
\widehat{Tf}(n) = a_n \widehat{f}(n)
$$

with  $a_n$  a bounded sequence is called a Fourier multiplier operator and defines itself a bounded linear operator.

It is closely related to the so-called discrete Hilbert transform  $\hat{Hf}(n) =$  $-i\operatorname{Sgn}(n)\hat{f}(n)$  such that

$$
\frac{1}{2}(I + iH)f = Pf - \frac{1}{2}\hat{f}(0).
$$

Thus,  $P$  extends to an  $L^p$  bounded operator if and only if  $H$  does. We then have

**Proposition 1.3.** Let  $X \subset L^1(\mathbb{T})$  be a Banach lattice and suppose that  $\mathcal{P}$  is dense in X. If  $P: \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}$  extends to a bounded linear operator  $P: X \to X$ then  $||S_nf - f||_X \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  for every  $f \in X$ .

One then shows that

**Theorem 7.** P and H extend to bounded linear operators on  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$  for every  $p \in (1,\infty)$ .

**Corollary 1.4.** Let  $p \in (1,\infty)$  then the Fourier series converges for  $f \in$  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$  also in  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ .

## 2. Hardy-Littlewood Maximal function

We start by recalling basic properties. The distribution function  $\lambda_f$  is defined as

$$
\lambda_f(\alpha) := \mu\{x \in X; |f(x)| > \alpha\}.
$$

One then has for any measurable  $f : X \to \mathbb{C}$  and any  $p \in (0, \infty)$ 

$$
\int_X |f|^p d\mu = p \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p-1} \lambda_f(\alpha) d\alpha.
$$

In addition one has Chebyshev's inequality for any  $p \in (0, \infty)$  and  $f \in L^p$ 

$$
\lambda_f(\alpha) \le \alpha^{-p} \|f\|_p^p.
$$

**Definition 2.1.** For each  $p \in [1,\infty)$  we define  $L^{p,\infty}$  the weak  $L^p$  space of all measurable f for which there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$
\lambda_f(\alpha) \le \alpha^{-p}C^p.
$$

The infimum of all such constants is defined to be  $||f||_{p,\infty}$ . An example of a function that is in the weak space but not the full space is  $|x|^{-d/p}$ .

The above definition defines a quasi-norm in the sense that the triangle inequality holds for some  $C_p<\infty$  such that

$$
||f + g||_{p,\infty} \le C_p(||f||_{p,\infty} + ||g||_{p,\infty}).
$$

For  $p \in (1,\infty)$  there actually exists a genuine norm on this space.

**Definition 2.2.** An operator T is said to be of weak type  $(p, q)$  if it maps  $L^p$  to  $L^{q,\infty}$  and satisfies

$$
||Tf||_{q,\infty}|| \leq C||f||_p.
$$

Finally, we define a key object for our following studies

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we define the Hardy-Littlewood Maximal Function

$$
Mf(x) := \sup_{r>0} |B_r(x)|^{-1} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y)| dy.
$$

We then have the following Theorem

**Theorem 8.** For each  $p \in (1,\infty]$  there is  $C(p,d) < \infty$  such that  $||Mf||_p \le$  $C||f||_p$ . Moreover, for any  $f \in L^1$  and  $\alpha > 0$  we have

$$
|\{x; Mf(x) > \alpha\}| \leq C\alpha^{-1} ||f||_1.
$$

However, M fails to map  $L^1$  to  $L^1$ . In fact, if  $Mf \in L^1$  then  $f \equiv 0$ . To see this, we observe that if  $\int_{B_r(0)} |f| > 0$ , then for any x, we find  $\int_{B_{|x|+2R}(x)} |f| \le$  $\int_{B_r(0)} |f|$ . We deduce that

$$
Mf(x) \gtrsim \langle x \rangle^{-d}
$$

which is not integrable.

In addition, we note that the bound  $||Mf||_{\infty} \leq ||f||_{\infty}$  is obvious. In fact, we even have

$$
\lim_{r \to 0} |B_r(x)|^{-1} \int_{B_r(x)} f(y) \, dy = f(x)
$$

by Lebesgue's differentiation theorem, which implies  $Mf(x) \geq |f(x)|$ . Our approach to show Theorem ?? will be to show the  $L^1 \to L^{1,\infty}$  bound and then to use interpolation.

We have the Vitali covering Lemma

**Lemma 2.1.** For each  $d \geq 1$ , there is  $C_d < \infty$  such that for any measurable  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  of finite measure and any collection of balls **B** such that

$$
E\subset \bigcup_{B\in\mathcal{B}}B,
$$

there is a collection  $\mathcal{B}'$  of disjoint elements of  $\mathcal B$  such that

$$
|E| \leq C_d \sum_{B' \in \mathcal{B}'} |B'|.
$$

The  $L^1 \to L^{1,\infty}$  bound can then be deduced as follows.

Let  $f \in L^1$  and  $\alpha > 0$  be given. Define  $E_\alpha := \{x; Mf(x) > \alpha\}$ . Define  $\beta$ to be the balls  $B$  satisfying

$$
|B|^{-1}\int_B|f|>\alpha.
$$

The union of all those contains  $E_{\alpha}$ . Then using the Vitali covering Lemma, we conclude

$$
|E_{\alpha}| \leq C_d \sum_{B' \in \mathcal{B}'} |B'| \leq C_d \sum_{B' \in \mathcal{B}'} \alpha^{-1} \int_{B'} |f| \leq C_d \alpha^{-1} ||f||_1.
$$

We shall now turn to the proof of the covering Lemma

*Proof.* Choose  $K \subset E$  compact with  $|K| \geq |E|/2$ . Choose a finite subcovert  $\mathcal{B}'' \subset \mathcal{B}$  that covers K. Write  $\mathcal{B}'' = \{B_1, B_2, ...\}$  ordering the balls so that  $|B_j| \geq |B_{j+1}|$ . We then define  $\mathcal{B}'$  as follows: Select  $B_1$ . If  $B_N$  is disjoint from all previously selected one, we select it, otherwise we discard it. This way  $\mathcal{B}'$ has only pairwise disjoint elements. We find that for any  $B_m \in \mathcal{B}'' \setminus \mathcal{B}'$  there is  $B' \in \mathcal{B}'$  such that  $B_m \subset (B')^*$  where  $(B')^*$  denotes the ball concentric with  $B'$  having three times as large a radius. Finally,

$$
|K| \leq |\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}''} B| \leq |\bigcup_{B' \in \mathcal{B}'} (B')^*| = 3^d \sum_{B' \in \mathcal{B}'} |B'|.
$$

The interpolation result we need is the Marcinkiewicz Interpolation Theorem

**Definition 2.4.** An operator T is said to be sublinear if it satisfies  $|T(f +$  $|g| \leq |Tf| + |Tg|$ 

Let  $p_{\theta}^{-1} = (1-\theta)p_0^{-1} + \theta p_1^{-1}$  and  $q_{\theta}^{-1} = (1-\theta)q_0^{-1} + \theta q_1^{-1}$ . The Marcinkiewiz interpolation theorem then states

**Theorem 9.** Having,  $||Tf||_{q_j,\infty} \leq C||f||_{p_j}$ , we conclude that

$$
||Tf||_{q_{\theta}} \leq \tilde{C} ||f||_{p_{\theta}}.
$$

The main advantage of the Marcinkiewicz theorem is that, unlike the Riesz-Thorin theorem, it only requires weak estimates at the end-points.

□

Proof. To keep it simple, we will just the proof the case that we need: Let  $p_0 = q_0 = 1$  and  $p_1 = q_1 = \infty$ . Suppose that

$$
||Tf||_{\infty} \le C_1 ||f||_{\infty}, ||Tf||_{1,\infty} \le C_0 ||f||_1
$$

as well as  $|T(f + g)| \leq C_2(|Tf| + |Tg|)$ .

Given  $\alpha > 0$  we split  $f = g + h$  where  $h(x) = 0$  if  $|f(x)| \le \alpha/(2C_1C_2)$ and  $h(x) = f(x)$  otherwise. Then  $||g||_{\infty} \le \alpha/(2C_1C_2)$  so  $||Tg||_{\infty} \le \alpha/(2C_2)$ . This implies that

$$
C_2|Th| + \alpha/2 \ge C_2|Th| + C_2||Tg||_{\infty} \ge C_2(|Th| + |Tg|) \ge |Tf|
$$

Hence, if  $|Tf| \ge \alpha$ , then  $|Th| \ge \alpha/(2C_2)$ .

This implies by the monotonicity of measures, since  $\lambda_f(\alpha) := \mu\{x \in$  $X$ ;  $|f(x)| > \alpha$ } we find that

$$
\lambda_{Tf}(\alpha) \leq \lambda_{Th}(\alpha/(2C_2)).
$$

This implies, using that  $||h||_p = p \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p-1} \lambda_h(\alpha) d\alpha$  and the definition of h

$$
\lambda_{Tf}(\alpha) \leq \lambda_{Th}(\alpha/(2C_2)) \leq 2C_2 \alpha^{-1} ||Th||_{1,\infty}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq 2C_0 C_2 \alpha^{-1} ||h||_1
$$
  
\n
$$
= C\alpha^{-1} \int_0^\infty \lambda_h(\beta) d\beta
$$
  
\n
$$
= C\alpha^{-1} \int_0^\infty \min(\lambda_f(\beta), \lambda_f(\alpha/(2C_1C_2))) d\beta
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C\alpha^{-1} \int_{\alpha/(2C_1C_2)} \lambda_f(\beta) d\beta + C\lambda_f(\alpha/(2C_1C_2)).
$$

Thus, for any  $p \in (1, \infty)$ 

$$
||Tf||_p^p = p \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p-1} \lambda_{Tf}(\alpha) d\alpha
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p-1} \left( \alpha^{-1} \int_{\alpha/(2C_1C_2)}^\infty \lambda_f(\beta) d\beta + C \lambda_f(\alpha/(2C_1C_2)) \right) d\alpha
$$
  
\n
$$
= C \int_0^\infty \lambda_f(\beta) \int_0^{2C_1C_2\beta} \alpha^{p-2} d\alpha d\beta + C \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p-1} \lambda_f(\alpha/(2C_1C_2)) d\alpha
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C \int_0^\infty \gamma^{p-1} \lambda_f(\gamma) d\gamma.
$$

### 3. Singular Integral Operators

Definition 3.1. A Calderon Zygmund kernel is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Delta$ , where  $\Delta = \{(x, y); x = y\}$  is the diagonal such that

$$
|K(x,y)| \le C|x-y|^{-d}
$$

and there is  $\delta \in (0,1]$  such that whenever  $|y-y'| \leq 1/2|x-y|$  then

$$
|K(x,y) - K(x,y')| + |K(y,x) - K(y',x)| \le C|y-y'|^{\delta}|x-y|^{-d-\delta}.
$$

**Definition 3.2.** A continuous linear operator  $T : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}'$  is associated to a kernel  $K \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Delta)$  if for every pair  $f, g \in \mathcal{D}$  of disjoint support, we have

$$
\langle Tf, g \rangle = \int \int K(x, y) f(x) g(y) \ dy \ dx.
$$

An operator has at most one kernel but a kernel does not uniquely define an operator, e.g.  $K = 0$  corresponds to both the identity and the first derivative operator.

One then has

**Theorem 10** (Calderon Zygmund). Suppose that for some  $q \in (1,\infty)$  T is a bounded linear operator on  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and T is assocaited with a CZ kernel. Then T extends to a bounded linear operator for all  $q \in (1,\infty)$  and is of weak  $(1,1)$  type, *i.e.* 

$$
||Tf||_{1,\infty} \leq C||f||_1.
$$

The essential step in the proof is the following result

**Proposition 3.1.** Under the assumptions of Theorem ??, the operator T is of weak  $(1,1)$  type.

We can now give the proof of Theorem ??

Proof. Using Marcinkiewicz interpolation we can conclude that T is bounded for every  $p \in (1,q)$ . If  $q = \infty$  then we are good. If not, then we study the transpose operator  $T' \in L(L^{q'})$  defined by  $\int fT'g = \int Tfg$  with kernel  $K'(x,y) = K(y,x)$ . Applying the Proposition, T' is bounded for every  $r \in$  $(1, q')$ . This however implies that T is bounded on all  $L^p$  with  $p \in (1, \infty)$ .  $\Box$ 

To prove our Proposition, we need another tool that is commonly referred to as the Calderon-Zygmund decomposition

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . Then f can be written as  $g + b$  with  $||g||_{\infty} \leq \alpha$  and  $b = \sum_{j} b_j$  with each  $b_j$  supported on a dyadic cube  $Q_j$   $^3$  $^3$  and

- $Q_i \cap Q_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ .
- $\int b_j = 0$
- $||b_j||_1 \leq 2^d \alpha |Q_j|$
- $\sum_{j} |Q_j| \leq \alpha^{-1} ||f||_1.$
- $||b||_1 + ||g||_1 \leq C ||f||_1$ .

We can now state the proof of Prop. ??.

<span id="page-10-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>A cube of sidelength  $2^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  with vertices in  $\mathbb{Z}2^k$ 

*Proof.* Let  $|Tf(x)| > \alpha$  then using the same notation as in the CZ decomposition

$$
|\{x;|Tf(x)| > \alpha\}| \le |\{x;|Tg(x)| > \alpha/2\}| + |\{x;|Tb(x)| > \alpha/2\}|.
$$

We also have that by the CZ decomposition again.

$$
||g||_q^q \le ||g||_{\infty}^{q-1} ||g||_1 \le C\alpha^{q-1} ||f||_1.
$$

Thus, by Chebyshev

$$
|\{x; |Tg(x)| > \alpha/2\}| \le 2^q \alpha^{-q} ||Tf||_q^q \le C \alpha^{-q} ||g||_q^q \le C \alpha^{-1} ||f||_1.
$$

This is the weak  $(1,1)$  boundedness for g, now we also need this for q. Let  $Q_j^*$  denote the ball concentric with  $Q_j$  whose radius is twice the diameter of  $\tilde{Q_{j}}$ .

We define the exceptional set

$$
E = \bigcup_j Q_j^*,
$$

then using the CZ decomposition

$$
|E| \le C \sum_{j} |Q_j| \le C\alpha^{-1} ||f||_1.
$$

This implies that

$$
|\{x;|Tb(x)| > \alpha/2\}| \le |E| + |\{x \notin E; |Tb(x)| > \alpha/2\}| \le |E| + 2\alpha^{-1} ||Tb||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus E)}.
$$

The term  $|E|$  has already been estimated two lines above. We now focus on  $||Tb||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus E)}$  and use that

$$
||Tb||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus E)} \leq \sum_j ||Tb_j||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus E)} \leq \sum_j ||Tb_j||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus Q_j^*)}.
$$

We now need an additional Lemma that shows that

$$
||Tb_j|| \le C||b_j||.
$$

This then allows us to show that

$$
\sum_{j} ||Tb_{j}||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d}\setminus Q_{j}^{*})} \leq C \sum_{j} ||b_{j}||_{L^{1}} = C||b||_{1} \leq C||f||_{1}.
$$

We now show (??). Let  $y_0$  denote the center of  $Q_j$ , then since  $\int b_j = 0$ we have for  $x \notin Q_j^*$ 

$$
Tb_j(x) = \int (K(x, y) - K(x, y_0))b_j(y) \, dy.
$$

We conclude

$$
\int_{x \notin Q_j^*} |Tb_j(x)| dx = \int_{x \notin Q_j^*} |\int_{y \in Q_j} (K(x, y) - K(x, y_0))b_j(y) dy| dx
$$
  
\n
$$
= \int_{y \in Q_j} \int_{x \notin Q_j^*} |K(x, y) - K(x, y_0)| dx|b_j(y)| dy
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq ||b_j||_1 \sup_{y \in Q_j} ||K(\bullet, y) - K(\bullet, y_0)||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus Q_j^*)}.
$$

On the other hand, for  $\ell$  the side-length of the cube  $Q_j$ 

$$
|Tb_j(x)| \le C|x-y_0|^{-d-\delta} \int_{Q_j} |y-y_0|^\delta |b_j(y)| dy \le C|x-y_0|^{-d-\delta} \ell^d ||b_j||_1.
$$

Using that for  $y \in Q_j$  and  $x \in Q_j^*$  we have  $|x - y_0| \geq 2|y - y_0|$  we have by the properties of the CZ kernel

$$
|K(x,y) - K(x,y_0)| \le C|y - y_0|^\delta |x - y_0|^{-d-\delta}.
$$

Integrating then, we find

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus Q_j^*} |x - y_0|^{-d - \delta} dx \le \int_{|x - y_0| \ge 2\ell} |x - y_0|^{-d - \delta} dx = c \ell^{-\delta}
$$

Thus, one finds

$$
||Tb_j||_1 \le C||b_j||_1.
$$

## 4. Homogeneous distributions

Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $r > 0$  then

$$
\delta_r f(x) := f(rx).
$$

This notation is extended to distributions by setting for  $\phi \in S'$ 

$$
(\delta_r \phi)(f) := r^{-d} \phi(\delta_{1/r} f)
$$

Checking for  $\phi(f) = \int gf$  we have by the change of variables that  $(\delta_r \phi)(f) =$  $\int (\delta_r g) f.$ 

A distribution  $\phi$  is called homogeneous of degree  $\gamma$  if  $\delta_r \phi = r^{\gamma} \phi$  for all  $r > 0$ .

The Dirac distribution is homogeneous of degree  $-d$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The principal value on **R** defined by

$$
\phi(f):=\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\int_{|x|>\varepsilon}f(x)x^{-1}dx
$$

is homogeneous of degree  $-1$ .

It is easy to see that for any  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  if  $\phi \in S'$  is homogeneous of degree  $\gamma$ then  $\hat{\phi}$  is homogeneous of degree  $-d-\gamma$ . If  $\phi$  is homogeneous of degree  $\gamma$ , then  $\partial^{\alpha}\phi$  is homogeneous of degree  $\gamma - |\alpha|$ . However,  $\log |x|$  is not homogeneous on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , but  $\Delta \log |x| = c\delta_0$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and therefore homogeneous.

We start with the following result

**Exercise 4.** If  $\phi \in S'$  is homogeneous and belongs to  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ , then  $\hat{\phi} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$  as well.

The proof of this can be obtained from an approximation scheme that rests on the following Lemma

Lemma 4.1. The Fourier transform of any compactly supported distribution belongs to  $C^{\infty}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\phi$  be a compactly supported distribution. We choose a function  $\eta \in C_c^{\infty}$  that is equal to one on the support of  $\phi$ . Thus,  $\phi \eta = \phi$ . By continuity there is  $M$  and  $C$  such that

$$
|\phi(f)| = |\phi(\eta f)| \le C ||\eta f||_{C^M}.
$$

This implies that

$$
\hat{\phi}(f) = \phi(\hat{f}) = \phi(\eta \hat{f}).
$$

Writing  $e^{-ix\xi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}$  $\frac{(-ix\xi)^n}{n!}$  we find

$$
\hat{\phi}(f) = \sum_{n} \frac{1}{n!} \int \phi(\eta(-ix\xi)^n) f(\xi) d\xi = \langle \psi, f \rangle
$$

with  $\psi(\xi) = \sum_n \phi(\eta(-ix)^n) \frac{\xi^n}{n!}$  $\frac{\xi^n}{n!}$  an entire function.  $\Box$ 

Distributions supported in a single point are particularly easy

**Exercise 5.** Let  $\phi \in S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  supported in  $\{0\}$ , then for some m and coefficients  $a_{\alpha}$ 

$$
\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{m} a_{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha} \delta_0.
$$

Hint: Use continuity to show that  $\phi(f) = 0$  if  $\partial^{\alpha} f(0) = 0$  for all  $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq$ m.

We find then

Theorem 11. The Fourier transform defines a continuous bijection between all distributions that are homogeneous of degree 0 and smooth away from the origin and distributions  $\phi$  of the form

$$
\mathbb{C}\delta_0 + \text{pv}(K) \text{ with } K \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}), \int_{\mathbf{S}^{d-1}} K = 0,
$$

where  $pv(K)(f) := \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{|x| > \varepsilon} k(x) f(x) dx$ .

*Proof.* We use that we can write our distribution as  $\phi = c + m$  where c is a constant and m satisfies  $\int_{\mathbf{S}^{d-1}} m = 0$ . The Fourier transform of m is a distribution k which is a function h that is homogeneous of degree  $-d$  and smooth on  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}.$ 

Let f be radial, then  $k(f) = \hat{m}(f) = m(\hat{f}) = \int m\hat{f} = 0$ . This implies that h is radial.

We can now define  $H = \text{pv}(h)$  which is homogeneous of degree  $-d$ . Thus,  $k - H$  is homogeneous of degree  $-d$  and supported in  $\{0\}$ . Hence, it is a multiple of  $\delta_0$ .

This implies that

Corollary 4.2. If  $\phi \in S'$  is homogeneous of degree  $-d$  and belongs to  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ , then the operator  $Tf = f * \phi$  is a bounded operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

*Proof.* Its fourier transform  $\hat{\phi}$  is homogeneous of degree 0 and belongs to  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ . Thus,  $\hat{\phi} \in L^{\infty}$ . Then, since  $(\phi * f) = \hat{\phi} \hat{f} \in L^2$ , the claim follows.  $\Box$ 

Thus, we have shown

**Theorem 12.** Let  $k \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$  be homogeneous of degree  $-d$  and  $\int_{\mathbf{S}^{d-1}} k = 0$  then for all  $p \in (1, \infty)$ 

$$
||pv(k) * f||_p \le C||f||_p
$$

and the weak  $L^1$  bound holds as well.

**Theorem 13.** Let  $m \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$  be homogeneous of degree zero. Then the operator  $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\hat{f}m)$  is a Calderon-Zygmund operator

*Proof.* We have  $Tf = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\hat{f}m)$  equals  $f * \mathcal{F}^{-1}m$  where  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}m$  is homogeneous of degree  $-d$  and belongs to  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}m(x-y)$ defines a standard kernel. □