

# Completely Positive Maps

Dominique Garmier

November 9, 2023

We follow ROLANDO REBOLLEDOS' section *Complete Positivity and the Markov structure of Open Quantum Systems* in *Open Quantum Systems II*. by ATTAL et al. [2, Chapter 2, p.157 et seq.]

## 1 Definitions

**Definition 1.1** (Completely Positive). *Let  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  be two  $*$ -algebras and  $S \subset \mathcal{A}$  an operators system. A linear map  $\Phi : S \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is called completely positive if*

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n b_i^* \Phi(a_i^* a_j) b_j \in \mathcal{B} \quad (1)$$

*is positive for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for all  $a_i \in S, b_i \in \mathcal{B}$ . The space of all such maps is denoted by  $\text{CP}(S, \mathcal{B})$*

From now on we will mostly consider the case of  $C^*$ -algebras and  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  for some Hilbert space  $H$ .

**Remark 1.1.** *Notice that the expression 1 is positive if and only if:*

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle u, b_i^* \Phi(a_i^* a_j) b_j u \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall u \in H$$

*which is equivalent to*

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle u_i, \Phi(a_i^* a_j) u_j \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall u_i \in H$$

## 2 Commutative Case

**Theorem 2.1** (Stinespring, Arveson). *Let  $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be positive for some  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ . Then if (a):  $\mathcal{A}$  or (b):  $\mathcal{B}$  is commutative we have that  $\Phi$  is completely positive.*

For the proof of this we will first introduce two results

**Theorem 2.2** (Gelfands Representation). *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a commutative unital  $C^*$ -algebra then  $X = \sigma(\mathcal{A})$  is compact  $T_2$ . And we have*

$$\mathcal{A} \cong C(X) \cong C_0(X)$$

*See: Open Quantum Systems I by ATTAL et al. [1, p. 83].*

**Theorem 2.3** (Riesz-Markov-Kakutani). *Let  $X$  be compact  $T_2$  then we have*

$$C(X)^* \cong \{\mu : \mu \text{ complex baire measure on } X\}.$$

*Where the isomorphism is given by:*

$$\mu \mapsto \int_X f d\mu$$

We can now proof (a) and (b) from the Stinespring, Arveson theorem.

*Proof (a).* We assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  is unital and  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ . Thus we get

$$\mathcal{A} \cong C(X)$$

for  $X$  compact and  $T_2$ . We identify  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $a(x) \in C(X)$  Thus for  $u, v \in H$  we can write the linear functional:

$$\langle v, \Phi(a)u \rangle = \int_X a(x) d\mu_{u,v}$$

as an integral with complex baire measure  $\mu_{u,v}$ . Let now  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in H$  we define:

$$d\mu := \sum_{i,j=1}^n |d\mu_{u_i, u_j}|, \quad u := \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_i$$

for some  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$ . Using Radon-Nykodim we can write:

$$d\mu_{u,u} = \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n \bar{\lambda}_i \lambda_j h_{u_i, u_j} \right) d\mu$$

for  $h_{u_i, u_j}$  the derivatives of  $d\mu_{u_i, u_j}$  with respect to  $d\mu$ . Since  $\Phi$  is positive we get that  $d\mu_{u,u} \geq 0$ . But since  $d\mu \geq 0$  by construction we get that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \bar{\lambda}_i \lambda_j h_{u_i, u_j} \geq 0 \quad \mu\text{-a.e}$$

Let now  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$  then we get

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle u_i, \Phi(a_i^* a_j) u_j \rangle = \int_X \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n \overline{a_i(x)} a_j(x) h_{u_i, u_j} \right) d\mu \geq 0$$

□

*Proof (b).* As above we identify  $B \cong C(X)$  thus by linearity of  $\Phi$  we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^n b_i^* \Phi(a_i^* a_j) b_j &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \overline{b_i(x)} \Phi(a_i^* a_j) b_j(x) \\ &= \Phi \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n \overline{b_i(x)} a_i^* a_j b_j(x) \right) \\ &= \Phi \left( \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) a_i \right]^* \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) a_i \right] \right) \end{aligned}$$

which is positive by positivity of  $\Phi$ . □

### 3 General Case

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be any map. We define:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_n : \mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{A}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{B}) \\ (a_{ij})_{ij} &\mapsto (\Phi(a_{ij}))_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 3.2.** A linear map  $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  between  $*$ -algebras is called  $n$ -positive if  $\Phi_n$  is positive.

**Proposition 3.1.** A linear map  $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  between  $*$ -algebras is completely positive if and only if it is  $n$ -positive for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

**Remark 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(H)$  with  $P, Q \in \mathcal{A}^+$  and  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  then the following two statements are equivalent.

1.  $\begin{pmatrix} P & A \\ A^* & Q \end{pmatrix}$  is positive
2.  $|\langle u, Av \rangle|^2 \leq \langle u, Pu \rangle \langle v, Qv \rangle \quad \forall u, v \in H$

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be two unital  $C^*$ -algebras then

1. for  $a \in \mathcal{A} : \|a\| \leq 1$  if and only if the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & a \\ a^* & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}$  is positive
2. for  $b \in \mathcal{A}^+$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  we have  $a^* a \leq b$  if and only if  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & a \\ a^* & b \end{pmatrix}$  is positive
3. let  $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be 2-positive then  $\Phi$  is contractive.
4. let  $\Phi$  as above then for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  we have  $\Phi(a)^* \Phi(a) \leq \Phi(a^* a)$

*Proof (1) & (2).* Notice that  $\mathbb{1}$  is positive. Thus we can apply the result from the previous remark. This yields inequalities from functional analysis that give the statements. □

*Proof (3):* Take  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\|a\|=1$  apply  $\Phi_2$  to the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & a \\ a^* & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}$ , which is positive by (1) so its image is also positive (since  $\Phi$  is 2-positive). Thus again using (1) we get that  $\|\phi(x)\| \leq 1$  by linearity we get the statement.  $\square$

*Proof (4):* Let  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  consider the calculation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^* \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & a \\ a^* & a^*a \end{pmatrix}$$

which is positive by construction so its image under  $\Phi_2$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & \Phi(a) \\ \Phi(a)^* & \Phi(a^*a) \end{pmatrix}$$

is also positive and so by (2) we have that  $\Phi(a)^*\Phi(a) \leq \Phi(a^*a)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.1** (Arveson). *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $S \subset \mathcal{A}$  an operator system and  $H$  a Hilbert space. For a given  $\varphi \in \text{CP}(S, \mathcal{B}(H))$  there always exists a  $\Phi \in \text{CP}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}(H))$  such that:*

$$\Phi|_S = \varphi$$

## References

- [1] Stéphane Attal, Alain Joye, and Claude-Alain Pillet, editors. *Open Quantum Systems I*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.
- [2] Stéphane Attal, Alain Joye, and Claude-Alain Pillet, editors. *Open Quantum Systems II*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.