

Minmax Methods in Geometric Analysis

Tristan Rivi  re

ETH Z  rich

Part 3 : Viscous Approximations of Minmax Operations

Part 3.1 : Examples of Viscous Approximations

of Minmax Operations

Viscous Relaxation of the Dirichlet Energy

Let (M^m, g) and (N^n, h) be two closed oriented Riemannian Manifolds

$$\int_{M^m} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\text{vol}_{M^m} + \sigma^2 \int_{M^m} |\nabla^I u|^p \, d\text{vol}_{M^m}$$

is **Palais Smale** for $I p > m$ in $W^{1,p}(M^m, N^n)$

Let $F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ s.t. $F^{-1}(\{0\}) = N^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^K$ then

$$\int_{M^m} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\text{vol}_{M^m} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{M^m} F(u) \, d\text{vol}_{M^m}$$

is **Palais Smale** in $W^{1,2}(M^m, \mathbb{R}^K)$

Part 3.2 : The Difficulty of Smoothing

Minmax Operations

A Viscous Approximation of the Length.

N^n closed sub-manifold of \mathbb{R}^m . On

$$\mathcal{M} := W_{imm}^{2,2}(S^1, N^n)$$

consider

$$E^\sigma(u) := \int_{S^1} [1 + \sigma^2 |\vec{\kappa}_u|^2] \ dl_u$$

where $\vec{\kappa}_u$ is the curvature of u . For $v \in W^{2,2}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^m)$ with $v \in T_u N^n$ consider

$$\|v\|_u := \left[\int_{S^1} [|\nabla^2 v|_{g_u}^2 + |\nabla v|_{g_u}^2 + |v|^2] \ dvol_{g_u} \right]^{1/2}$$

Proposition $(\mathcal{M}, \|\cdot\|)$ defines a **complete Finsler manifold**. E^σ is C^1 on \mathcal{M}

Palais Smale modulo “gauge change”.

Proposition Let $\sigma > 0$ and $u_k \in \mathcal{M} := W_{imm}^{2,2}(S^1, N^n)$, s.t.

$$E^\sigma(u_k) \rightarrow \beta(\sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad DE_{u_k}^\sigma \rightarrow 0 \quad ,$$

then $\exists u_{k'}$ and $\psi_{k'}$, $W^{2,2}$ -diffeomorphisms of S^1 , such that

$$u_{k'} \circ \psi_{k'} \rightarrow u \quad \text{for } d_P$$

□

Let \mathcal{A} admissible in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ and

$$\beta_\sigma := \inf_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \max_{u \in A} E^\sigma(u)$$

Palais Minmax Principle gives u_σ

$$E^\sigma(u_\sigma) = \beta_\sigma \quad , \quad DE_{u_\sigma}^\sigma = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u_{\sigma_k} \rightharpoonup u_0 \quad \text{weak. in } (W^{1,\infty})^*$$

Do we have $\beta_0 = L(u_0)$ and u_0 is a geodesic ?

A first difficulty

Proposition

There exists $u_\sigma : S^1 \rightarrow S^2$ critical point of

$$E^\sigma(u) := \int_{S^1} 1 + \sigma^2 \kappa_u^2 \, dl_u$$

in **normal parametrization** s.t. as $\sigma \rightarrow 0$

$$\frac{du_\sigma}{dt} \rightharpoonup \frac{du_0}{dt} \quad \text{weakly in } (L^\infty)^*$$

but

$\frac{du_\sigma}{dt}$ nowhere strongly converge in L^1

and

u_0 is **not** a geodesic !

A counter exemple

Precisely, let $f(\sigma) := \sqrt{1 - 2\sigma^2}$

$$u_\sigma(t) := \frac{\sigma}{f(\sigma)} \left(\cos \left(\frac{f(\sigma)}{\sigma} t \right), \sin \left(\frac{f(\sigma)}{\sigma} t \right), \frac{f(\sigma)}{\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{1 - 3\sigma^2}{1 - 2\sigma^2}} \right)$$

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} u_\sigma = (0, 0, 1)$$

$$\kappa_{u_\sigma}(t) \equiv -\frac{f(\sigma)}{\sigma}$$

$$E^\sigma(u_\sigma) = 2 L(u_\sigma)(1 - \sigma^2) \longrightarrow \pi$$

In particular

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sigma^2 \int_{S^1} \kappa_{u_\sigma}^2 \, dl_{u_\sigma} = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

Failure of ϵ -Regularity

Conclusion : There is no ϵ -regularity independent of σ . (Unlike Sacks Uhlenbeck relaxation).

That is $\nexists \varepsilon > 0$ s.t. in constant speed parametrisation

$$\int_{t_0-r}^{t_0+r} 1 + \sigma^2 \kappa_{u_\sigma}^2 \, dl_u < \varepsilon \implies |\ddot{u}_\sigma|(t_0) \leq C r^{-1}$$

Another Case of Absence of ε -Regularity

Let $u_\sigma \neq Cte$ realising

$$H_\sigma := \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} E^\sigma(u) := \int_{S^3} |du|^2 + \sigma^2 |du|^4 \, dvol_{S^3} \\ u \in W^{1,4}(S^3, S^2) \quad ; \quad \text{Hopf-deg}(u) = +1 \end{array} \right\}$$

One has

$$H_\sigma \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{Hence} \quad E^\sigma(u_\sigma) \rightarrow 0 \quad \implies \quad du_\sigma \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(S^3)$$

But, since $\text{Hopf-deg}(u) = +1$

$$\liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \int_{S^3} |du_\sigma|_{S^3}^3 \, dvol_{S^3} \geq C > 0$$

Hence we cannot have

$$E_\sigma(u_\sigma) < \varepsilon \quad \implies \quad \|\nabla u_\sigma\|_\infty \leq C$$

This is due to a failure of the monotonicity formula in that case.

The Case of Vanishing Viscous Energy

Theorem

Let u_σ critical point of

$$E^\sigma(u) := \int_{S^1} 1 + \sigma^2 \kappa_u^2 \, dl_u$$

in **normal parametrization**. Assume

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \int_{S^1} dl_{u_\sigma} < +\infty$$

and

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \int_{S^1} \sigma^2 \kappa_{u_\sigma}^2 \, dl_{u_\sigma} = 0$$

then $\exists \sigma_j \rightarrow 0$

$$\frac{du_{\sigma_j}}{dt} \longrightarrow \frac{du_0}{dt} \quad \text{strongly in } L^1$$

and

u_0 is a geodesic

A Proof of the Theorem. Page 1

Assume

$$|\dot{u}_{\sigma_j}| \equiv \frac{L_j}{2\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad u_{\sigma_j} \rightharpoonup u_0 \quad \text{weakly in } W^{1,\infty}(S^1)^*.$$

Denote $u_j := u_{\sigma_j}$ and $D_t := P_T(u_j) \frac{d}{dt}$. There holds

$$D_t [\dot{u}_j - \sigma_j^2 [2D_t^2 \dot{u}_j + 3\kappa_j^2 \dot{u}_j]] + 2\sigma_j^2 R(D_t \dot{u}_j, \dot{u}_j) \dot{u}_j = 0$$

We have

$$D_t \dot{u}_j = \left(\frac{L_j}{2\pi} \right)^2 \vec{\kappa}_j$$

Denote

$$w_j := \dot{u}_j - \sigma_j^2 [2D_t^2 \dot{u}_j + 3\kappa_j^2 \dot{u}_j]$$

Hence

$$D_t w_j \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^2$$

A Proof of the Theorem. Page 2

In a local chart (u_j is pre-compact in C^0)

$$(D_t w_j)^k = \frac{dw_j^k}{dt} + \Gamma_{lm}^k w_j^l \dot{u}_j^m$$

$$= \frac{dw_j^k}{dt} + (1 - 3\sigma_j^2 \kappa_j^2) \Gamma_{lm}^k \dot{u}_j^l \dot{u}_j^m - 2\sigma_j^2 \Gamma_{lm}^k (D_t^2 \dot{u}_j)^l \dot{u}_j^m$$

and

$$\sigma_j^2 \Gamma_{lm}^k (D_t^2 \dot{u}_j)^l \dot{u}_j^m = \left(\frac{L_j}{2\pi} \right)^2 \sigma_j^2 \Gamma_{lm}^k \frac{d}{dt} \kappa_j^l \dot{u}_j^m$$

$$+ \left(\frac{L_j}{2\pi} \right)^2 \sigma_j^2 \Gamma_{lm}^k \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^l \kappa_j^\alpha \dot{u}_j^\beta \dot{u}_j^m \longrightarrow 0 \text{ strongly in } L^1 + H^{-1}$$

Hence

$$w_j := (1 - 3\sigma_j^2 \kappa_j^2) \dot{u}_j - 2 \left(\frac{L_j}{2\pi} \right)^2 \sigma_j^2 D_t \vec{\kappa}_j$$

is pre-compact in L^2

A Proof of the Theorem. Page 3

$$w_j := (1 - 3\sigma_j^2 \kappa_j^2) \dot{u}_j - 2 \left(\frac{L_j}{2\pi} \right)^2 \sigma_j^2 D_t \vec{\kappa}_j$$

is pre-compact in L^2 and

$$w_j \rightarrow \dot{u}_0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(S^1).$$

Thus

$$\int_{S^1} w_j \cdot \dot{u}_j \, dt \rightarrow \int_{S^1} |\dot{u}_0|^2 \, dt$$

But

$$\int_{S^1} w_j \cdot \dot{u}_j \, dt = \int_{S^1} |\dot{u}_j|^2 \, dt + o(1)$$

Thus

$$\dot{u}_j \rightarrow \dot{u}_0 \quad \text{strongly in } L^p(S^1) \quad \forall p < +\infty \dots$$

Part 3.3 : Modifying The Pseudo-Gradient of Viscous Palais-Smale Approximations

Struwe Monotonicity Trick

Theorem Let $(\mathcal{M}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a complete Finsler manifold. Let $E^\sigma \in C^1(\mathcal{M})$ for $\sigma \in [0, 1]$ s.t.

$$\forall \Phi \in \mathcal{M} \quad \sigma \rightarrow E^\sigma(\Phi) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma \rightarrow \partial_\sigma E^\sigma(\Phi)$$

are **increasing** and continuous functions with respect to σ . Assume

$$\|DE_\Phi^\sigma - DE_\Phi^\tau\|_\Phi \leq C(\sigma) \delta(|\sigma - \tau|) f(E^\sigma(\Phi))$$

where

$$C(\sigma) \in L_{loc}^\infty((0, 1)), \quad \delta \in L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+), \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \delta(s) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f \in L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

Assume E^σ satisfies (PS) . Let \mathcal{A} admissible

$$\beta(\sigma) := \inf_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \sup_{\Phi \in A} E^\sigma(\Phi)$$

Then $\exists \sigma_j \rightarrow 0$ and $\Phi_j \in \mathcal{M}$ s.t.

$$E^{\sigma_j}(\Phi_j) = \beta(\sigma_j), \quad DE^{\sigma_j}(\Phi_j) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{\sigma_j} E^{\sigma_j}(\Phi_j) = o\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_j \log\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_j}\right)}\right)$$

Another Proof of Birkhoff Existence Result.

Let \mathcal{A} admissible in $\mathcal{P}(W_{imm}^{2,2}(S^1, N^n))$ and

$$\beta_\sigma := \inf_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \max_{\Phi \in A} E^\sigma(\Phi) := \text{Length}(\Phi(S^1)) + \sigma^2 \int_{S^1} \kappa_\Phi^2 \, dl_\Phi$$

Struwe Monotonicity gives $\sigma_j \rightarrow 0$, Φ_{σ_j} s.t.

$$E^{\sigma_j}(\Phi_{\sigma_j}) = \beta_{\sigma_j} \quad , \quad DE_{\Phi_{\sigma_j}}^{\sigma_j} = 0$$

and

$$\sigma_j^2 \int_{S^1} \kappa_{\Phi_{\sigma_j}}^2 \, dl_{\Phi_{\sigma_j}} = o\left(\frac{1}{\log\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_j}\right)}\right) \quad .$$

then $\exists \sigma_{j'} \rightarrow 0$

$$\frac{d\Phi_{\sigma_j}}{dt} \rightarrow \frac{d\Phi_0}{dt} \quad \text{strongly in } L^1$$

and

Φ_0 is a geodesic with $L(\Phi_0) = \beta_0$

The Proof of Struwe Monotonicity Trick - page 1

$$\beta(\sigma) \searrow \beta(0) \implies \beta \text{ is diff. a.e.}$$

and

$$D\beta(\sigma) = \beta'(\sigma) d\mathcal{L}^1 \llcorner [0, 1] + \mu \quad \text{where} \quad \mu \perp d\mathcal{L}^1 \llcorner [0, 1]$$

$$\int_0^\sigma \beta'(s) ds \leq \beta(\sigma) - \beta(0)$$

Hence $\exists \sigma_j \rightarrow 0$

$$\beta'(\sigma_j) = o\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_j \log \sigma_j^{-1}}\right)$$

The Proof of Struwe Monotonicity Trick - page 2

Let σ be a point of differentiability

$$\sigma < \tau < \sigma + \delta \implies \beta(\tau) \leq \beta(\sigma) + [\beta'(\sigma) + \varepsilon] (\tau - \sigma)$$

$A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\Phi \in A$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} \beta(\sigma) \leq E^\sigma(\Phi) + \varepsilon (\tau - \sigma) \\ E^\tau(\Phi) \leq \beta(\tau) + \varepsilon(\tau - \sigma) \end{cases} \quad (\implies \partial_\sigma E^\sigma(\Phi) \leq \beta'(\sigma) + 3\varepsilon)$$

Replace the original **pseudo-gradient** X_τ for E^τ by X_τ^σ

$$X_\tau^\sigma(\Phi) := \chi \left(\frac{E^\sigma(\Phi) - \beta(\sigma) + \varepsilon(\tau - \sigma)}{\varepsilon(\tau - \sigma)} \right) X_\tau(\Phi)$$

where $\chi \equiv 1$ in $[1, +\infty]$ and $\chi \equiv 0$ in $[0, 1/2]$.

The Proof of Struwe Monotonicity Trick - page 3

Assume $\exists \delta > 0$ (indep. of $\tau \searrow \sigma$)

$$\begin{cases} \beta(\sigma) \leq E^\sigma(\Phi) + \varepsilon (\tau - \sigma) \\ E^\tau(\Phi) \leq \beta(\tau) + \varepsilon(\tau - \sigma) \end{cases} \implies \|DE_\Phi^\tau\| > \delta$$

Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ s. t.

$$\sup_{\Phi \in A} E^\tau(\Phi) \leq \beta(\tau) + \varepsilon(\tau - \sigma)$$

Since the flow is active **only** if $\beta(\sigma) \leq E^\sigma(\Phi) + \varepsilon (\tau - \sigma)$

Hypothesis above $\Rightarrow \forall \Phi \in A \quad t_{max}^\Phi = +\infty$

$$E^\sigma(\Phi) - \beta(\sigma) \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{d}{dt} E^\sigma(\phi_t(\Phi)) \Big|_{t=0} \leq -C \delta^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{Contrad. !}$$